

# Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission Project Evaluation System Transit Project

Project Name:		
RE	GIONAL CONTEXT/COOPERATION	
1. Regional Scope: Is the project a "regionally signi	ificant project?" See Attachment A.	
Yes (3 points) No (0 po	oints)	
2. Regional Cooperation: Is the project based on m	ulti-jurisdictional cooperation efforts such as joint ap	oplication or funding?
Yes, 2 or more jurisdictions/organizations (3 points)	No (0 points)	
3. Enhance Transportation System: Does the project points is 8 points)	ct enhance the regional transit system? Please select	all that apply. (Maximum total
Energy Efficient Vehicle Replacement (4 points)	Provide/Improve Passenger Amenities (1 point)	NA (0 points)
Improve Transit Hub Facility (2 points)	Operating Assistance (1 point)	
Attachment B.	Does the project contribute to the completion of the	
		(0 points)
If needed, please provide additional project infor	rmation that supports points awarded under <u>REGIONA</u>	<u>AL CONTEXT/COOPERATION</u>

	TRANSPORTATION (	CHOICES	
5. Alternative Modes: Does the project i	nclude alternative modes of transpo	rtation? (All transit projects will be awarded 4	points)
X Yes (4 points)			
6. Inter-modal connectivity: Does the pr A and B.	oject create, improve, or enhance co	onnectivity to other modes of transportation? S	See Attachment
	Yes – One mode (3 points)	No (0 points)	
If needed, please provide additio	nal project information that supports	points awarded under <u>TRANSPORTATION CH</u>	<u>IOICES</u>

September 2025

MIAMI VALLEY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

	TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM I	MANAGEMENT	
7. Safety/Security: Does the project addr or security of a new route or transit fa		ansit system or include a design feature that enhances safety	
Yes (5 points)	No (0 points)	NA	
8. Intelligent Transportation System (IT total points is 4 points) See Attachmen	,	ect include ITS or smart technology components? (Maximum	1
Yes ITS (2 points)	Yes Smart Technology (2 points)	No (0 points)	
9. Preserve/Upgrade Existing Transport operational efficiency of the existing to		rve/upgrade the existing transit network or improve the	
Yes (3 points)	No (0 points)		
If needed, please provide additional proje	ct information that supports points aw	varded under <u>TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM MANAGEMENT</u>	

September 2025

	LAN	D USE	
	vation: How much impact does the pareighborhood? (Explanation is requi	•	
High (5 points)	Medium (3 points)	Low (1 point)	No Impact (0 points)
projects will receive points it minority area.) See Attachme	ent A and B.	ortionally high and adverse imp	ea? (Maximum total is 6 points, act on a concentrated poverty and/or
Yes - Minority (3 points)	Yes - Poverty (3 points)	No (0 points)	
agencies, points will be awa		income of the county that the p	county-wide or multi-county roject is located in. See Attachment B.
< 80% Ohio Median income(3 p	oints) 81-120% Ohio Median incom	me (1 point)>12	21% Ohio Median income (0 points)
	rase provide additional project informa		

September 2025

MIAMI VALLEY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	Т
13. Public-Private Partnership: Does the project include a public-private partnership working relationship? (Explanation is required to receive points)	p such as joint funding, right-of-way donations, or a
Yes (2 points) Potential (1 point)	No (0 points)
14. Economic Impact: How much of an economic impact does the project have? Doe of the area? Please select all that apply. (Maximum total points are 8 points and Attachment A.	
Improves access to/from regional business and employment opportunities (0 - 3 points)	
Contributes to business growth/retention in community revitalization areas (0 - 3 points)	
Improves value of the surrounding public space (0 - 2 points)	
NA	
If needed, please provide additional project information that supports points a	

September 2025

MIAMI VALLEY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION

	ENVIRONMENT
travel? All transit projects will receive	the project improve air quality by reducing the demand of single-occupancy vehicle (SOV) at least 3 points, additional points will be awarded if the project includes transportation al to reduce the need for SOV travel. (Maximum total points are 6 points and documentation is
<u>Transit</u>	TDM Strategies See Attachment A.
V (2 m - inte)	Yes - High Potential Reduction (3 points)
X = (3  points)	Yes - Low Potential Reduction (1 point)
	No/NA (0 points)
16. Attractiveness: Does the project include points)	beautification or aesthetic improvement components? (Explanation is required to receive
Yes (2 points)	_No (0 points)

September 2025

OT	HER (This criteria is used only	for ranking regiona	ally controlled project application	is.)
	jects will be awarded points ba points are 10 points) <i>See Attac</i>	<u>=</u>	ge share of local funds used to ma	itch the requested Federal
0% to 20.9 (0 points)	30% to 34.9	(6 points)		
21% to 24.9 (2 points)	35% to 39.9	(8 points)		
25% to 29.9 (4 points)	above 40% (	10 points)		
prioritize the projects w	=	<b>Taximum total point</b>	nding consideration, regardless of ts are 6 points, a project ranked # ts receive 0 point)	
Project Rank	#1 (6 points)	#2 (3 points)	#3 (1 point)	#4 (0 points)
· ·	econstruction or reconfiguratio	2 0	pport a major regionally significa part of a regionally significant mu	
Project support a major region	onally significant project (4 points)	Project is a pl	hase of a multi-phase project (2 points)	) None (0 points)
controlled Federal fund	<b>8</b>	nal funding commit	ed upon <u>staff analysis</u> of equitable ments within the corridor or juris	
Other Regional Consideration	ons STP/CMAQ projects (0-10 poi	nts)	Delay/Withdrawal Penalty (-5 points p	per project)

Total Score from Questions 1 – 19	
Total Score from Question 20 (To be determined by MVRPC Staff)	
GRAND TOTAL	

#### Attachment A – Transit Evaluation Form

#### General

When a project falls between 2 scoring categories, projects scores are awarded based on the maximum possible points. For example if a project is widening a segment of road that is classified as both a minor arterial and a collector, points are awarded based on the arterial designation only.

# **Questions 1 and 19 - Regionally Significant Project**

A regionally significant project means a transportation project, other than an exempt project, that is on a facility which serves regional transportation needs (such as access to and from the area outside the region, major activity centers in the region, major planned developments such as new retail malls, sports complexes, etc., or transportation terminals as well as most terminals themselves) and would normally be included in the modeling of a metropolitan area's transportation network. A regionally significant project serves regional transportation needs that include access to and from the area outside the region, major planned developments such as new retail malls, sports complexes, etc, or transportation terminals, as well as most terminals themselves, but which shall include, at a minimum: (a) all principal arterial highways, (b) all fixed guideway transit facilities that offer an alternative to regional highway travel, and (c) any project that Ohio EPA identifies as having the potential to affect air quality on a regional basis.

# **Question 6 – Inter-modal Connectivity**

Examples of projects that enhance inter-modal connectivity include but are not limited to:

- Pedestrian improvements near transit routes/hubs
- Bike-transit integration
- Transit services to park and ride lots
- Enhanced bus stops
- Projects that support multi-modal passenger (e.g. airport) facilities
- Other relevant attributes identified by the project sponsor

## **Question 7 – Safety/Security**

Examples of projects that address transit safety and security include but are not limited to:

- Transit user amenities (benches, lighting, buffer zones)
- Audio and video surveillance equipment
- Other security systems
- Variable message signs
- Automated vehicle detection systems
- Bus pullout lanes
- Other relevant attributes identified by the project sponsor

### **Question 8 - Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS)/Smart Technology**

ITS focus on making the transportation system more efficient and responsive to drivers by using technological improvements instead of adding roadway capacity. Examples of ITS improvements/strategies include but are not limited to:

- Automated vehicle detection systems (transit)
- Automated fare collection systems (transit)
- Traveler information systems (transit)
- Other relevant attributes identified by the project sponsor

Smart technology software and infrastructure to advance connected and autonomous transit including: Dedicated Short Range Communications (DSRC), vehicle to vehicle/pedestrian safety applications, multimodal electronic payment, or other relevant items identified by the project sponsor. Improvements must be compatible with IEEE connected and smart technology standards and the Miami Valley Regional ITS Architecture.

#### **Question 10 – Urban Revitalization/Preservation**

**High:** Projects that enhance a jurisdiction's core such as downtown or help create an activity/community center for a jurisdiction that does not have one as evidenced by a plan that specifically calls for the project.

**Medium:** Projects that enhance a jurisdiction's existing neighborhood or community centers, significant impact in areas with medium to high concentration of services.

**Low:** Projects that enhance a jurisdiction's existing neighborhood or community centers, minor impact in areas with low concentration of services

#### **Question 11 – Vulnerable Populations**

In determining if a project has a disproportionally high and adverse impact on a vulnerable population, MVRPC will use the following definitions:

Adverse Effects: The totality of significant individual or cumulative human health or environmental effects, including interrelated social and economic effects, which may include, but are not limited to: bodily impairment, infirmity, illness or death; air, noise, and water pollution and soil contamination; destruction or disruption of human-made or natural resources; destruction or diminution of aesthetic values; destruction or disruption of community cohesion or a community's economic vitality; destruction or disruption of the availability of public and private facilities and services; vibration; adverse employment effects; displacement of persons, businesses, farms, or nonprofit organizations; increased traffic congestion, isolation, exclusion or separation of minority or low-income individuals within a given community or from the broader community; and the denial of, reduction in, or significant delay in the receipt of, benefits of transportation planning programs, policies, or activities.

# **Disproportionately High and Adverse Effect on Minority and Low-Income Populations:** An adverse effect that:

- (1) is predominately borne by a minority population and/or a low-income population; or
- (2) will be suffered by the minority population and/or low-income population and is appreciably more severe or greater in magnitude than the adverse effect that will be suffered by the nonminority population and/or non-low-income population.

#### **Question 14 – Economic Impact**

Projects are awarded between 1-3 points if they have a positive impact in the categories described below. How many points will depend on the project scale or the relative concentration of employment, businesses, etc. Community redevelopment areas can include previously developed industrial or retail sites.

- Improves access to/from regional business and employment centers
- Contributes to business growth/retention in community revitalization areas
- Improves value of the surrounding public space. Projects that complement, improve access, and enhance neighborhoods and community services such libraries, recreation centers, and parks.

#### **Question 15 – TDM Strategies**

Examples of the TDM strategies with potential to reduce the need for motor vehicle travel include but are not limited to:

- Additional routes
- Increased service frequency
- Longer hours of operation
- Bike/Transit Integration
- Park and Ride lots
- Transit incentive programs
- Other relevant efforts identified by the project sponsor

# **Question 17 – Funding Provisions**

Following are two examples of how local match is to be calculated for the purposes of this question:

# Example 1

PE	\$100,000	100% Local
R/W	\$100,000	100% Local
Con	\$500,000	75% Federal (\$375,000), 25% Local (\$125,000)
CE	\$50,000	75% Federal (\$37,500), 25% Local (\$12,500)

Total Federal = \$412,500

Total Local match to Federal = \$137,500

\$412,500 + \$137,500 = \$550,000

137,500/550,000 = 25.0%, therefore 4 points would be awarded to this project.

# Example 2

PE	\$100,000	100% Local
R/W	\$100,000	60% Federal (\$60,000), 40% Local (\$40,000)
Con	\$1,000,000	70% Federal (\$700,000), 30% Local (\$300,000)
CE	\$100,000	100% Local

Total Federal = \$760,000

Total Local match to Federal = \$340,000

\$760,000 + \$340,000 = \$1,100,000

\$340,000/\$1,100,000 = 30.9%, therefore 6 points would be awarded to this project.

\*Federal funds must be matched by a minimum of 20% Local funds per project phase.\*

A	Attachment B – M	aps – Transit Evaluat	tion Form	
Maps included in Attac myrpc.opendata.arcgis	hment B are availa s.com/pages/pes-	ble in greater detail at: hub	https://geospark-	
Maps included in Attac mvrpc.opendata.arcgis	hment B are availa s.com/pages/pes-	ble in greater detail at: <u>hub</u>	https://geospark-	
Maps included in Attac mvrpc.opendata.arcgis	hment B are availa s.com/pages/pes-	ble in greater detail at: <u>hub</u>	https://geospark-	
Maps included in Attac mvrpc.opendata.arcgis	hment B are availa s.com/pages/pes-	ble in greater detail at: <u>hub</u>	https://geospark-	
Maps included in Attac mvrpc.opendata.arcgis	hment B are availa s.com/pages/pes-	ble in greater detail at: hub	https://geospark-	
Maps included in Attac mvrpc.opendata.arcgis	hment B are availa s.com/pages/pes-	ble in greater detail at: hub	https://geospark-	
Maps included in Attac mvrpc.opendata.arcgis	hment B are availa s.com/pages/pes-	ble in greater detail at: hub	https://geospark-	
Maps included in Attac mvrpc.opendata.arcgis	hment B are availa s.com/pages/pes-	ble in greater detail at: hub	https://geospark-	
Maps included in Attac mvrpc.opendata.arcgis	hment B are availa s.com/pages/pes-	ble in greater detail at: hub	https://geospark-	
Maps included in Attac mvrpc.opendata.arcgis	hment B are availa s.com/pages/pes-	ble in greater detail at: hub	https://geospark-	
Maps included in Attac mvrpc.opendata.arcgis	hment B are availa s.com/pages/pes-	ble in greater detail at: hub	https://geospark-	
Maps included in Attac mvrpc.opendata.arcgis	hment B are availa s.com/pages/pes-	ble in greater detail at:	https://geospark-	
Maps included in Attac mvrpc.opendata.arcgis	hment B are availa s.com/pages/pes-	ble in greater detail at:	https://geospark-	
Maps included in Attac mvrpc.opendata.arcgis	hment B are availa s.com/pages/pes-	ble in greater detail at:	https://geospark-	
Maps included in Attac mvrpc.opendata.arcgis	hment B are availa s.com/pages/pes-	ble in greater detail at:	https://geospark-	
Maps included in Attac mvrpc.opendata.arcgis	hment B are availa s.com/pages/pes-	ble in greater detail at:	https://geospark-	
Maps included in Attac mvrpc.opendata.arcgis	hment B are availa s.com/pages/pes-	ble in greater detail at:	https://geospark-	



















