



**Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission
Project Evaluation System
Roadway Project**

Project Name: _____

Project #: _____ (add # if project was included in 2012 LRTP update)

REGIONAL CONTEXT/COOPERATION

1. Regional Scope: Is the project a “regionally significant project?” See Attachment A.

___ Yes (4 points)

___ No (0 point)

2. Regional Cooperation: Is the project based on multi-jurisdictional cooperation efforts such as joint application or funding?

___ Yes, 3 or more jurisdictions/organizations (4 points)

___ Yes, 2 jurisdictions/organizations (2 points)

___ No (0 point)

3. Enhance Transportation System: Points are awarded based on the facility’s functional classification. If the project is new construction, please score according to the proposed functional classification. See Attachment B.

___ Interstate (5 points)

___ Arterial (3 points)

___ Local (1 point)

___ Expressway (4 points)

___ Collector (2 points)

___ NA

4. Regional Transportation Network Connectivity: Does the project complete, contribute to the completion of, or upgrade the function of the regional transportation network? See Attachment A and B.

___ Yes (2 points)

___ No (0 point)

*If needed, please provide additional project information that supports points awarded under **REGIONAL CONTEXT/COOPERATION***

TRANSPORTATION CHOICES

5. Complete Streets: Does the project help complete the transportation network by improving access for people with disabilities, transit users, pedestrians, or cyclists? (Fill all that apply, Maximum total is 3 points and explanation is required to receive points) *See Attachment A.*

For the purpose of scoring projects for inclusion into the Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP), all projects will be awarded 3 points under the Complete Streets criterion. The LRTP evaluation is a planning level analysis that does not include all the necessary information (detailed costs, plans) to adequately determine compliance with MVRPC's Complete Streets Policy. Further, the source of funds for the majority of the projects in the LRTP is undetermined. If a project is later funded with regionally controlled STP or CMAQ funds, the project would need to comply with the MVRPC Complete Streets Policy.

☒ NA (3 points)

6. Inter-modal Connectivity: Does the project create, improve, or enhance connectivity among different transportation modes? *See Attachment A and B.*

☐ Yes Significant (3 points)

☐ Yes Moderate (1 point)

☐ No (0 point)

If needed, please provide additional project information that supports points awarded under TRANSPORTATION CHOICES

TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM MANAGEMENT

7. Safety/Security: Is the project located in an area that has been identified as a priority location by a state or local report or does the project address a documented design or security deficiency? (Maximum total is 5 points)

Intersection/Segment Crash Priority Ranking *See Attachment B.*

Address Design or Security Deficiency *See Attachment A and B.*

☐ High (3 points) ☐ Low (1 point)

☐ Yes (2 points)

☐ Medium (2 points) ☐ NA

☐ No (0 point)

☐ NA

8. Congestion: Is the project addressing a recurring congestion problem as identified by the facility's Level of Service (LOS)? *See Attachment B.*

☐ LOS F (5 points) ☐ LOS E (4 points) ☐ LOS D (3 points) ☐ LOS C, B, or A (0 point) ☐ NA

9. Intelligent Transportation System (ITS): Does the project include an ITS component? *See Attachment A.*

☐ Yes (2 points) ☐ Potential (1 point) ☐ No (0 Point)

10. Preserve/Upgrade Existing Transportation System: Does the project preserve/upgrade the existing transportation system? *See Attachment A.*

☐ Yes (2 points) ☐ No (0 point)

11. Access Management: Does the project incorporate access management techniques? (Explanation is required to receive points) *See Attachment A.*

☐ Yes (2 points) ☐ No (0 point)

*If needed, please provide additional project information that supports points awarded under **TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM MANAGEMENT***

LAND USE

12. Minimize Sprawl: Is all or part of the project within an existing urbanized area? *Attachment A and B.*

☐ In Census defined Urbanized Area (5 points)

☐ In Census defined Urban Cluster (1 point)

☐ In FHWA Federal-Aid Urbanized Area but outside Census defined Urbanized Area (3 points)

☐ Outside Urbanized Area (0 point)

13. Urban Revitalization/Preservation: How much impact does the project have in revitalizing/preserving a given jurisdiction's urban core, community center, or neighborhood? (Explanation is required to receive points)

☐ High (5 points)

☐ Medium (3 points)

☐ Low (1 points)

☐ No Impact (0 point)

14. Environmental Justice: Does the project have a positive impact within a concentrated minority and/or poverty area? (Explanation is required to receive points) *See Attachment B.*

☐ Yes Significant (2 points)

☐ Yes Moderate (1 point)

☐ No (0 point)

15. Land Use/Project/Study Coordination: Is the project the result of, and compatible with, a given jurisdiction's adopted comprehensive plan, land use plan, thoroughfare plan, or study? *See Attachment A.*

☐ Yes (2 points)

☐ No (0 point)

If needed, please provide additional project information that supports points awarded under LAND USE

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

16. Public-Private Partnership: Does the project include a public-private partnership such as joint funding, right-of-way donations, or a working relationship? (Explanation is required to receive points)

☐ Yes (2 points)

☐ Potential (1 point)

☐ No (0 Point)

17. Economic Impact: How much of an economic impact does the project have? Does the project contribute directly to the economic benefits such as creation of new jobs, retention of existing jobs, or improve access to employment centers? Please select all that apply. (Maximum total is 8 points and documentation is required to receive points)

Job Creation

☐ More than 200 jobs (3 points)

☐ 100 to 200 jobs (2 points)

☐ 50 to 100 jobs (1 point)

☐ No job creation (0 point)

Job Retention

☐ More than 200 jobs (3 points)

☐ 100 to 200 jobs (2 points)

☐ 50 to 100 jobs (1 point)

☐ No job retention (0 point)

Employment Center Accessibility

☐ Improve access to/from regional business and employment centers (2 points)

☐ No access improvement (0 point)

If needed, please provide additional project information that supports points awarded under ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

ENVIRONMENT

18. Air Quality: Does the project improve air quality? Project will receive points if addressing a problem at a location with a Level of Service (LOS) of D-F and/or based on eligibility for CMAQ funding. (Maximum total is 5 points)

Level of Service *See Attachment B.*

CMAQ Eligibility *See Attachment A.*

☐ LOS F (3 points)

☐ LOS C, B, or A (0 point)

☐ Yes (2 points)

☐ LOS E (2 points)

☐ NA

☐ No (0 point)

☐ LOS D (1 point)

☐ NA

19. Environmental enhancement: Does the project improve an environmentally sensitive area? (Explanation is required to receive points) *See Attachment A.*

☐ Yes (2 points)

☐ No (0 point)

☐ NA

20. Attractiveness: Does the project include beautification or aesthetic improvement components? (Explanation is required to receive points)

☐ Yes (2 points)

☐ No (0 point)

If needed, please provide additional project information that supports points awarded under ENVIRONMENT