				nold income in the (in 2010 inflation-	Per capita income in the past 12 months (in 2010 inflation-		
	Percent belo	w poverty level	adjuste	ed dollars)	•	ed dollars)	
Area Name	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error	
Greene County	11.4%	0.9%	\$56,679	\$1,573	\$28,328	\$676	
Bath Township	18.2%	2.2%	\$41,569	\$2,480	\$22,098	\$1,296	
Fairborn city (part)	21.3%	2.6%	\$38,329	\$2,915	\$21,264	\$1,364	
Huber Heights city (part)	(X)	(X)	-	**	-	**	
Wright-Patterson AFB CDP (part)	2.5%	3.9%	\$57,135	\$13,414	\$22,885	\$2,310	
Remainder of Bath Township	5.1%	3.9%	\$55,244	\$7,788	\$26,551	\$6,145	
Beavercreek Township	4.7%	0.9%	\$75,828	\$2,686	\$36,196	\$1,720	
Beavercreek city	4.7%	1.0%	\$73,918	\$3,654	\$36,029	\$1,910	
Fairborn city (part)	3.2%	4.8%	\$103,750	\$36,551	\$35,802	\$6,144	
Wright-Patterson AFB CDP (part)	(X)	(X)	-	**	-	**	
Remainder of Beavercreek Township	5.3%	2.6%	\$90,777	\$11,452	\$37,577	\$2,811	
Bellbrook city	7.7%	4.7%	\$72,106	\$8,202	\$30,589	\$2,880	
Caesarscreek Township	0.6%	0.9%	\$90,179	\$18,320	\$37,806	\$4,931	
Cedarville Township	16.4%	6.2%	\$44,659	\$7,193	\$15,182	\$1,794	
Cedarville village	24.7%	11.1%	\$34,375	\$6,522	\$10,615	\$1,601	
Remainder of Cedarville Township	5.9%	4.9%	\$85,000	\$6,368	\$28,360	\$3,685	
Jefferson Township	6.8%	5.5%	\$66,339	\$18,250	\$26,551	\$4,653	
Bowersville village	20.1%	18.2%	\$39,167	\$23,527	\$28,506	\$12,004	
Remainder of Jefferson Township	2.0%	2.1%	\$84,583	\$23,399	\$25,842	\$4,515	
Kettering city (part)	0.0%	11.3%	\$68,977	\$31,491	\$33,534	\$7,008	
Miami Township	11.9%	3.7%	\$57,155	\$5,217	\$32,155	\$4,796	
Clifton village (part)	15.6%	10.3%	\$34,219	\$26,437	\$17,240	\$5,566	
Yellow Springs village	15.1%	5.0%	\$56,000	\$5,345	\$32,886	\$6,477	
Remainder of Miami Township	1.8%	2.0%	\$84,250	\$34,218	\$31,817	\$4,207	

				nold income in the (in 2010 inflation-	Per capita income in the past 12 months (in 2010 inflation-		
	Percent belo	w poverty level	adjuste	ed dollars)	adjuste	ed dollars)	
Area Name	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate Margin of Error		Estimate	Margin of Error	
New Jasper Township	9.2%	6.8%	\$72,898	\$8,335	\$31,305	\$3,524	
Shawnee Hills CDP (part)	12.2%	10.3%	\$70,143	\$10,638	\$29,052	\$4,786	
Remainder of New Jasper Township	3.5%	3.8%	\$85,463	\$24,781	\$35,742	\$5,669	
Ross Township	2.6%	3.9%	\$76,283	\$9,513	\$26,813	\$5,638	
Jamestown village (part)	(X)	(X)	-	**	-	**	
Remainder of Ross Township	2.6%	3.9%	\$76,283	\$9,513	\$26,813	\$5,638	
Silvercreek Township	7.2%	3.0%	\$50,431	\$9,286	\$22,103	\$2,003	
Jamestown village (part)	10.8%	5.0%	\$40,391	\$5,170	\$19,932	\$1,636	
Shawnee Hills CDP (part)	0.0%	24.0%	\$82,813	\$20,245	\$33,076	\$10,073	
Remainder of Silvercreek Township	4.5%	3.7%	\$60,670	\$11,327	\$21,103	\$4,723	
Spring Valley Township	8.6%	6.2%	\$64,786	\$15,610	\$35,747	\$6,182	
Spring Valley village	6.5%	6.8%	\$56,319	\$8,567	\$25,815	\$3,407	
Remainder of Spring Valley Township	8.9%	7.2%	\$71,311	\$14,206	\$37,441	\$7,134	
Sugarcreek Township	9.3%	5.3%	\$105,139	\$12,430	\$40,582	\$3,346	
Centerville city (part)	(X)	(X)	-	**	-	**	
Remainder of Sugarcreek Township	9.3%	5.3%	\$105,139	\$12,430	\$40,582	\$3,346	
Xenia city	19.2%	3.4%	\$39,796	\$2,342	\$19,665	\$910	
Xenia Township	7.9%	3.8%	\$64,256	\$8,979	\$28,881	\$3,341	
Wilberforce CDP	31.1%	24.9%	\$67,500	\$84,911	\$15,450	\$9,360	
Remainder of Xenia Township	6.0%	3.4%	\$63,661	\$10,878	\$31,529	\$3,229	

	-	pulation 25 years h school graduate	Percent of population 25 years and over with Bachelor's degree or higher			
	_	higher				
Area Name	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error		
Greene County	91.7%	0.6%	34.5%	0.9%		
Bath Township	86.6%	1.8%	25.2%	2.0%		
Fairborn city (part)	86.4%	3.6%	23.8%	2.1%		
Huber Heights city (part)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)		
Wright-Patterson AFB CDP (part)	94.4%	15.1%	35.2%	15.7%		
Remainder of Bath Township	84.0%	9.2%	29.4%	8.3%		
Beavercreek Township	95.8%	0.8%	48.7%	1.6%		
Beavercreek city	95.8%	3.0%	48.5%	2.3%		
Fairborn city (part)	98.4%	7.9%	66.8%	10.8%		
Wright-Patterson AFB CDP (part)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)		
Remainder of Beavercreek Township	95.6%	7.1%	48.2%	6.0%		
Bellbrook city	95.1%	2.2%	38.4%	6.4%		
Caesarscreek Township	88.8%	6.9%	23.2%	12.7%		
Cedarville Township	96.2%	2.2%	32.2%	6.4%		
Cedarville village	94.4%	10.5%	38.0%	15.8%		
Remainder of Cedarville Township	98.2%	15.7%	25.8%	18.1%		
Jefferson Township	88.3%	6.3%	16.2%	7.1%		
Bowersville village	87.2%	100%¹	4.7%	100%¹		
Remainder of Jefferson Township	88.8%	40.5%	21.7%	32.8%		
Kettering city (part)	100.0%	15.7%	31.6%	15.8%		
Miami Township	96.0%	2.3%	53.9%	5.9%		
Clifton village (part)	95.9%	100%¹	34.4%	100% ¹		
Yellow Springs village	95.2%	4.1%	57.1%	4.7%		
Remainder of Miami Township	98.6%	25.5%	46.4%	25.1%		

	and over high	pulation 25 years h school graduate higher	Percent of population 25 years and over with Bachelor's degree or higher		
Area Name	Estimate	Margin of Error	Estimate	Margin of Error	
New Jasper Township	95.3%	2.5%	15.9%	5.3%	
Shawnee Hills CDP (part)	95.5%	22.6%	11.0%	20.0%	
Remainder of New Jasper Township	94.9%	22.9%	24.6%	25.1%	
Ross Township	92.3%	6.1%	15.4%	11.2%	
Jamestown village (part)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	
Remainder of Ross Township	92.3%	32.3%	15.4%	30.3%	
Silvercreek Township	84.6%	3.8%	13.1%	3.1%	
Jamestown village (part)	79.8%	14.1%	13.1%	14.4%	
Shawnee Hills CDP (part)	96.6%	97.2%	11.5%	83.1%	
Remainder of Silvercreek Township	86.7%	22.6%	13.6%	23.6%	
Spring Valley Township	88.8%	5.8%	31.5%	7.0%	
Spring Valley village	92.6%	93.2%	14.5%	94.4%	
Remainder of Spring Valley Township	88.2%	15.3%	34.2%	12.9%	
Sugarcreek Township	96.7%	1.7%	49.4%	5.3%	
Centerville city (part)	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	
Remainder of Sugarcreek Township	96.7%	7.3%	49.4%	5.5%	
Xenia city	87.2%	1.9%	18.1%	2.0%	
Xenia Township	92.1%	3.1%	31.4%	5.6%	
Wilberforce CDP	90.6%	74.0%	76.2%	47.1%	
Remainder of Xenia Township	92.3%	3.7%	27.2%	5.1%	

Remainder of Miami Township

0.0%

28.7%

Gross rent as % of household income in past 12 months Pct paying more than Pct paying more than 30% of household 50% of household Housing vacancy rate Median gross rent income in gross rent income in gross rent Margin of Margin of Margin of Margin of **Estimate Error** Estimate Error **Estimate Error** Estimate Error **Area Name Greene County** 8.0% 0.8% \$766 \$17 47.9% 3.4% 26.6% 2.9% \$733 4.9% Bath Township 12.4% 2.2% \$28 49.4% 6.0% 28.7% 10.6% \$707 \$29 53.0% 31.5% 5.5% Fairborn city (part) 2.0% 6.4% (X) (X) Huber Heights city (part) (X) (X) (X) (X) Wright-Patterson AFB CDP (part) 29.5% 7.2% \$1,040 \$125 16.7% 20.2% 3.5% 4.0% 30.3% Remainder of Bath Township 16.1% 9.2% \$835 \$1,012 58.1% 100%¹ 29.4% Beavercreek Township 3.9% 1.2% \$966 \$22 35.6% 5.8% 14.1% 3.9% 4.0% \$974 Beavercreek city 1.3% \$24 33.7% 5.8% 12.5% 3.7% 10.3% 16.2% \$1,500 \$353 100.0% 100%¹ 0.0% 100%¹ Fairborn city (part) ** Wright-Patterson AFB CDP (part) (X) (X) (X) (X) (X) (X) 13.9% Remainder of Beavercreek Township 2.9% 48.8% 3.2% \$882 \$100 20.7% 27.6% Bellbrook city 4.4% 3.7% \$889 \$137 68.1% 26.1% 35.7% 20.5% Caesarscreek Township 7.7% 11.2% \$1.063 \$302 33.3% 100%¹ 0.0% 100%¹ \$535 \$32 37.9% 13.4% Cedarville Township 5.7% 2.3% 13.1% 22.3% 15.2% Cedarville village 6.5% 3.1% \$518 \$35 44.0% 14.4% 25.9% Remainder of Cedarville Township 4.2% 5.3% \$1,170 \$489 0.0% 100%¹ 0.0% 100%¹ Jefferson Township 7.0% \$709 \$250 0.0% 100%¹ 5.7% 44.4% 100%¹ 14.1% 11.9% \$588 \$220 66.7% 100%¹ 0.0% 100%¹ Bowersville village ** Remainder of Jefferson Township 3.5% 5.7% 0.0% 100%¹ 0.0% 100%¹ (X) 0.0% 100%¹ Kettering city (part) (X) (X) (X) Miami Township 5.6% 3.5% \$697 \$84 46.0% 14.9% 7.4% 15.2% 13.8% Clifton village (part) 17.5% \$525 \$217 36.0% 100%¹ 36.0% 46.2% 6.6% 7.9% Yellow Springs village 4.9% \$715 \$51 40.1% 16.3% 14.5%

\$589

\$396

76.1%

44.0%

12.4%

17.6%

Remainder of Xenia Township

Gross rent as % of household income in past 12 months Pct paying more than Pct paying more than 30% of household 50% of household Housing vacancy rate Median gross rent income in gross rent income in gross rent Margin of Margin of Margin of Margin of **Estimate Error Estimate** Error **Estimate Error** Estimate Error **Area Name New Jasper Township** 2.9% \$703 56.9% 100%¹ 47.7% 51.2% 1.9% \$483 Shawnee Hills CDP (part) 2.9% \$487 93.7% 100%¹ 78.5% 59.7% 4.4% \$339 Remainder of New Jasper Township 0.0% 32.5% \$730 \$475 0.0% 100%¹ 0.0% 100%¹ Ross Township 20.6% 13.0% \$683 \$159 29.9% 100%¹ 11.7% 17.1% (X) Jamestown village (part) (X) (X) (X) (X) (X) 17.1% Remainder of Ross Township 20.6% 13.0% \$683 \$159 29.9% 100%¹ 11.7% 7.0% 43.1% 24.9% 12.3% Silvercreek Township 3.4% \$638 \$107 13.6% Jamestown village (part) 9.8% 5.3% \$608 \$73 42.4% 15.2% 27.3% 14.7% Shawnee Hills CDP (part) 100%¹ 0.0% 100%¹ 5.0% 7.4% 100.0% Remainder of Silvercreek Township 2.2% 3.3% \$739 38.2% 100%¹ 19.7% 21.8% \$244 Spring Valley Township 9.5% 5.3% \$441 \$561 56.1% 100%¹ 52.8% 37.3% Spring Valley village 10.7% 9.2% \$965 \$100 36.8% 100%¹ 26.3% 33.6% Remainder of Spring Valley Township 9.3% \$417 \$266 47.5% 6.1% 64.7% 100%¹ 64.7% Sugarcreek Township 8.9% 4.9% \$799 \$182 61.1% 41.0% 39.6% 20.7% ** (X) (X) Centerville city (part) (X) (X) (X) (X) Remainder of Sugarcreek Township 8.9% \$799 \$182 61.1% 41.0% 39.6% 20.7% 4.9% Xenia city 10.7% 2.3% \$656 \$26 55.6% 5.9% 34.3% 5.4% 6.6% Xenia Township 3.2% \$656 \$112 67.5% 45.3% 54.7% 21.2% Wilberforce CDP 19.8% 17.8% \$542 \$48 100.0% 100%¹ 100.0% 0.0%

\$685

\$51

59.0%

57.6%

42.8%

27.3%

5.4%

3.2%

	Median selected owner costs by mortgage status							Monthly housing costs as % of household			
	1410	sulali selec	teu owner c	osts by inc	i igage statt	us	inc	ome by mo	ortgage statu	ıs	
							Pct paying	monthly	Pct paying monthly		
							housing	housing costs of		housing costs of	
					Housing	g units	30%+ of he	ousehold	30%+ of household		
			Housing u	nits with	witho	out a	income,	with a	income, without a		
	Total		a mortgage		mortgage		<u>mortgage</u>		<u>mortgage</u>		
		Margin		Margin		Margin		Margin		Margin	
Area Name	Estimate	of Error	Estimate	of Error	Estimate	of Error	Estimate	of Error	Estimate	of Error	
Greene County	\$1,134	\$27	\$1,401	\$22	\$478	\$12	25.5%	1.5%	10.7%	2.0%	
Bath Township	\$924	\$51	\$1,122	\$32	\$381	\$12	27.2%	4.9%	11.6%	11.6%	
Fairborn city (part)	\$874	\$38	\$1,085	\$37	\$370	\$12	25.0%	5.0%	12.3%	14.8%	
Huber Heights city (part)	-	**	-	**	-	**	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	
Wright-Patterson AFB CDP (part)	-	**	-	**	-	**	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	
Remainder of Bath Township	\$1,139	\$84	\$1,271	\$196	\$477	\$102	36.6%	19.1%	7.3%	85.2%	
Beavercreek Township	\$1,380	\$29	\$1,604	\$34	\$553	\$17	24.2%	2.8%	10.1%	6.2%	
Beavercreek city	\$1,340	\$29	\$1,545	\$38	\$542	\$17	24.0%	3.0%	10.5%	6.8%	
Fairborn city (part)	\$1,802	\$171	\$1,869	\$159	\$770	\$43	14.3%	84.9%	41.9%	100%1	
Wright-Patterson AFB CDP (part)	=	**	-	**	-	**	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	
Remainder of Beavercreek Township	\$1,939	\$156	\$2,207	\$139	\$712	\$87	28.1%	8.9%	2.9%	78.2%	
Bellbrook city	\$1,141	\$90	\$1,463	\$112	\$503	\$46	19.2%	12.9%	7.4%	41.2%	
Caesarscreek Township	\$1,322	\$171	\$1,441	\$150	\$663	\$214	22.5%	73.7%	0.0%	100%¹	
Cedarville Township	\$1,149	\$170	\$1,460	\$135	\$500	\$79	30.5%	23.3%	11.8%	100%¹	
Cedarville village	\$843	\$113	\$1,153	\$163	\$453	\$59	20.3%	89.9%	13.3%	100%¹	
Remainder of Cedarville Township	\$1,540	\$256	\$1,796	\$184	\$669	\$228	37.6%	38.8%	9.0%	100%¹	
Jefferson Township	\$995	\$194	\$1,561	\$528	\$497	\$154	12.3%	59.7%	24.1%	100%¹	
Bowersville village	\$587	\$286	\$781	\$360	\$297	\$145	7.1%	100%1	16.0%	100%¹	
Remainder of Jefferson Township	\$1,246	\$757	\$1,882	\$394	\$611	\$211	14.5%	85.0%	26.4%	100%¹	
Kettering city (part)	\$1,210	\$365	\$1,653	\$532	\$595	\$69	27.4%	100%¹	27.8%	100%¹	
Miami Township	\$1,140	\$156	\$1,559	\$236	\$609	\$60	36.8%	16.2%	9.3%	58.1%	
Clifton village (part)	\$900	\$638	\$929	\$397	\$420	\$71	59.5%	100%¹	0.0%	100%¹	
Yellow Springs village	\$1,085	\$181	\$1,477	\$189	\$644	\$57	37.9%	21.5%	10.3%	76.8%	
Remainder of Miami Township	\$1,457	\$536	\$2,113	\$183	\$527	\$67	28.4%	87.0%	6.7%	100%¹	

	Me	Median selected owner costs by mortgage status						Monthly housing costs as % of household income by mortgage status			
		Housing units			Pct paying monthly housing costs of 30%+ of household		Pct paying monthly housing costs of 30%+ of household				
			Housing u	nits with		without a mortgage		income, <u>with a</u> mortgage		income, <u>without a</u> mortgage	
	Tot	al	a mor								
		Margin		Margin	Margin		Margin		Margin		
Area Name	Estimate	of Error	Estimate	of Error	Estimate	of Error	Estimate	of Error	Estimate	of Error	
New Jasper Township	\$1,141	\$158	\$1,290	\$158	\$455	\$63	23.2%	19.6%	1.5%	100%1	
Shawnee Hills CDP (part)	\$1,092	\$193	\$1,195	\$174	\$484	\$69	22.0%	41.4%	0.0%	100%1	
Remainder of New Jasper Township	\$1,238	\$509	\$1,637	\$177	\$429	\$116	25.9%	80.5%	3.0%	100%¹	
Ross Township	\$1,321	\$424	\$1,703	\$555	\$463	\$135	28.0%	100%¹	18.6%	100%¹	
Jamestown village (part)	-	**	-	**	-	**	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	
Remainder of Ross Township	\$1,321	\$424	\$1,703	\$555	\$463	\$135	28.0%	100%¹	18.6%	100%1	
Silvercreek Township	\$1,098	\$77	\$1,262	\$97	\$428	\$40	33.9%	16.9%	18.2%	96.9%	
Jamestown village (part)	\$954	\$84	\$1,080	\$59	\$378	\$35	30.2%	44.2%	21.8%	100%¹	
Shawnee Hills CDP (part)	\$1,161	\$347	\$1,413	\$506	\$428	\$139	36.8%	100%¹	0.0%	100%¹	
Remainder of Silvercreek Township	\$1,448	\$251	\$1,602	\$330	\$543	\$58	37.6%	62.1%	25.0%	100%1	
Spring Valley Township	\$1,298	\$242	\$1,649	\$277	\$550	\$38	37.8%	13.8%	14.7%	84.0%	
Spring Valley village	\$1,018	\$168	\$1,164	\$108	\$378	\$88	29.5%	100%¹	14.6%	100%1	
Remainder of Spring Valley Township	\$1,390	\$266	\$1,785	\$277	\$564	\$40	39.0%	15.5%	14.8%	98.1%	
Sugarcreek Township	\$1,853	\$240	\$2,327	\$182	\$692	\$88	24.3%	10.9%	15.7%	37.4%	
Centerville city (part)	=	**	=	**	=	**	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)	
Remainder of Sugarcreek Township	\$1,853	\$240	\$2,327	\$182	\$692	\$88	24.3%	10.9%	15.7%	37.4%	
Xenia city	\$899	\$27	\$1,027	\$45	\$342	\$18	25.7%	4.1%	8.6%	12.3%	
Xenia Township	\$1,151	\$161	\$1,550	\$67	\$450	\$38	19.5%	14.2%	11.4%	31.7%	
Wilberforce CDP	\$1,128	\$293	\$1,236	\$779	\$564	\$287	0.0%	100%¹	0.0%	100%1	
Remainder of Xenia Township	\$1,155	\$189	\$1,553	\$70	\$444	\$41	20.5%	15.0%	11.9%	33.1%	

Explanation of Symbols:

An '-' entry in the estimate column indicates that either no sample observations or too few sample observations were available to compute an estimate, or a ratio of medians cannot be calculated because one or both of the median estimates falls in the lowest interval or upper interval of an open-ended distribution.

An '-' following a median estimate means the median falls in the lowest interval of an open-ended distribution.

An '+' following a median estimate means the median falls in the upper interval of an open-ended distribution.

An 'N' entry in the estimate and margin of error columns indicates that data for this geographic area cannot be displayed because the number of sample cases is too small.

An '(X)' means that the estimate is not applicable or not available.

A '100%' means that the calculated margin of error was at or above 100%.

Data are based on a sample and are subject to sampling variability. The degree of uncertainty for an estimate arising from sampling variability is represented through the use of a margin of error. The value shown here is the 90 percent margin of error. The margin of error can be interpreted roughly as providing a 90 percent probability that the interval defined by the estimate minus the margin of error and the estimate plus the margin of error (the lower and upper confidence bounds) contains the true value. In addition to sampling variability, the ACS estimates are subject to nonsampling error (for a discussion of nonsampling variability, see Accuracy of the Data). The effect of nonsampling error is not represented in these tables.

The methodology for calculating median income and median earnings changed between 2008 and 2009. Medians over \$75,000 were most likely affected. The underlying income and earning distribution now uses \$2,500 increments up to \$250,000 for households, non-family households, families, and individuals and employs a linear interpolation method for median calculations. Before 2009 the highest income category was \$200,000 for households, families and non-family households (\$100,000 for individuals) and portions of the income and earnings distribution contained intervals wider than \$2,500. Those cases used a Pareto Interpolation Method.

While the 2006-2010 American Community Survey (ACS) data generally reflect the December 2009 Office of Management and Budget (OMB) definitions of metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas; in certain instances the names, codes, and boundaries of the principal cities shown in ACS tables may differ from the OMB definitions due to differences in the effective dates of the geographic entities.

Estimates of urban and rural population, housing units, and characteristics reflect boundaries of urban areas defined based on Census 2000 data. Boundaries for urban areas have not been updated since Census 2000. As a result, data for urban and rural areas from the ACS do not necessarily reflect the results of ongoing urbanization.