MIAMI VALLEY
Regional Planning Commission

Scan with Camera
for meeting information

# MVRPC Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) Meeting

**LOCATION:** Dayton Realtors, 1515 S. Main St., Dayton, OH 45409
**DATE/TIME:** Thursday, November 19, 2026 at 9:30 a.m.

## Meeting Agenda

| | Item | Topic | Pg | Est. Time | Presenter |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | I. | **Call to Order and Introductions** | | 9:30 | Stephanie Goff |
| * | II. | **Approval of October 15, 2026 – Meeting Minutes** | 1 | 9:35 | Stephanie Goff |
| | III. | **Public Comment Period on Action Items** | | 9:40 | Stephanie Goff |
| | IV. | **RPC (Regional Planning Commission) Action Items** | | | |
| * | | A. **Resolution 26-32:** Amending the Areawide Water Quality Management Plan to Update Boundaries for the Greenville Facility Planning Areas | 4 | 9:55 | Matt Lindsay & Rebecca Carr |
| * | V. | **MPO (METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION) ACTION ITEMS** | | | |
| * | | A. **Resolution 26-34:** Recommended Adoption of Revision #15 to MVRPC's SFY 2026-2029 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) | 61 | 10:05 | Paul Arnold |
| * | | B. **Resolution 26-35:** Supporting the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act Safety Performance Management Targets | 77 | 10:15 | Ana Ramirez |
| * | | C. **Resolution 26-36:** Supporting the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act Transit Asset Management and Public Transportation Agency Safety Targets | 80 | 10:20 | Ana Ramirez |
| * | VI. | **INFORMATION ITEMS** | | | |
| * | | A. Proposed New Projects Requesting MVRPC's STP, CMAQ & TA Funds | 84 | 10:25 | Paul Arnold |
| | VII. | **EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT** | 94 | 10:45 | Brian O. Martin |
| | VIII. | **ADJOURNMENT** | | 10:55 | Stephanie Goff |

** Attachment/ All Information is available on the MVRPC Meeting Center*

Interpreters for hearing-impaired individuals are available upon request; requests should be made at least one week ahead.

**NO DECEMBER TAC MEETING**

**NEXT TAC MEETING: Thursday, January 21, 2027 at 9:30 a.m.**

**MIAMI VALLEY REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION**
**TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE**
**MINUTES**

**Dayton Realtors** **October 15, 2026**
**1515 S. Main Street, Dayton, OH 45409** **9:30 AM**

**Members/ Alternates**
Lauren Alvarado, City of Moraine
Russ Bergman, City of Huber Heights
Steve Bergstresser, City of Kettering
Chris Celek, Electric Vehicle Association of Ohio
Ken Collier, Greene County Transit
Eric Damian, City of Franklin
Joseph Dura, City of Miamisburg
Andy Ehrhart, Beavercreek Township
David Escobar, City of Dayton
Tim Franck, Washington Township
Alaina Geres, City of Carlisle
Vanessa Glotfelter, Montgomery County TID
Stephanie Goff, Greene County Engineer
Bill Gourley, AES Ohio
Rap Hankins, Electric Vehicle Association of Ohio
Walt Hibner, National Fuel
James Kannedy, Village of Cedarville
Kenton Kiser, City of Piqua
Dominic Miller, City of Xenia
Beth Moore, City of Riverside
Sam Morton, Montgomery County TID
Haley Myers, City of Fairborn
Scott Schmid, ODOT District 7
Ronnie Shaw, Xenia Township
William Singer, Jr., City of Englewood
David Swanson, City of Centerville
Dylan Wurst, City of Huber Heights
Lucy Zelinski, ODOT District 8

**Guests**
Ethan Briggs, Strand Associates, Inc.
Bill Brock, Kleingers
Nathan Fischer, Woolpert
Jay Hamilton, Mead & Hunt
Mike McMurray, Greene County Transit Board
Rick Melton, City of Miamisburg
Alissa Nicholson, TEC Engineering
Matt Parrill, LJB
Annie Rahall, Fishbeck
Ethan Randall, Xenia Township
Casey Reichert, Choice One Engineering

**MVRPC Staff**
Michele Allen
Brad Daniel
Chanda Davis
Laura Dent
Bryce Gullett
Martin Kim
Brian O. Martin
Ryanne Shavers

**The Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission Technical Advisory Committee met on October 15, 2026 at 9:30 a.m. at Dayton Realtors, 1515 S. Main Street, Dayton, OH 45409. All members and news media were notified of the meeting pursuant to the Ohio Sunshine Law.**

## I. INTRODUCTION

Chairperson Goff called the meeting to order at 9:30 a.m. Self-introductions were made.

## II. APPROVAL OF SEPTEMBER 17, 2026 MEETING MINUTES

Mr. Rap Hankins made a motion to approve the meeting minutes. Mr. Ken Kiser seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

## III. Public Comment Period on Action Items

None

## IV. MPO (METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION) ACTION ITEMS

### A. Resolution 26-30: Recommended Adoption of Revision #14 to MVRPC's SFY 2026-2029 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

Mr. Brad Daniel stated that over the last few months MVRPC, ODOT and Greene CATS have made numerous changes to the programming documents for various projects resulting in the need for a SFY 2026-SFY 2029 TIP revision. The attached TIP tables reflected the updated information for each specific project and funding sources. Modifications to Statewide Line-Item projects were shown and a TIP terminology explanation chart of key abbreviations used in the highway/bikeway tables. A resolution adopting the proposed TIP revision was attached for review and consideration. The MVRPC staff recommends approval.

Mr. Daniel opened the floor for comment.

Mr. David Escobar made a motion to recommend forwarding to the Board of Directors for adoption. Mr. David Swanson seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

## V. INFORMATION ITEMS

### A. MVRPC New Website

Ms. Laura Dent presented the features of the new and improved MVRPC website. Gravity Works was the vendor that modernized the content and ensured that MVRPC met the web content accessibility guidelines (WCAG) 2.1 level AA guidelines as a requirement under Title II of the Americans With Disabilities Act. The website features the Resiliency Disaster Recovery Story Map, as well as information about solicitation deadlines, director's updates, data resources and a library of created plans in rotation. The meeting center is a comprehensive list of all of our ongoing meeting groups, as well as upcoming meetings and information. Ms. Dent encouraged the attendees to reach out to her if you cannot find a resource, a link is broken, or if you need any assistance with compliance for those guidelines.

Ms. Dent opened the floor for discussion. Mr. David Swanson inquired about updating interactive maps on websites. Ms. Dent replied that she would find out more information about embedded maps.

### B. Going Places Implementation Tools Progress Update

Mr. Martin Kim presented the progress update on the Going Places Implementation Tools, which provide information for making better decisions, fosters and strengthens collaboration, as well as enhances our knowledge and technical capacity to develop innovative solutions. We provide you direct access to MVRPC's regional GIS data and you can interact to address your individual needs.

Mr. Kim opened the floor for questions or comments. Ms. Alaina Geres asked if GIS classes were available for those with little to no training or experience. Mr. Kim mentioned that there are GIS classes available to attend in the spring, late summer and in fall.


## VI. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

Mr. Brian O. Martin reviewed the Executive Director's Update for October 2026

- Mr. Martin greeted and thanked everyone for attending the meeting.
- Staff has been busy processing project applications for funding.
- Welcome to Ms. Ryanne Shavers, MVRPC's new staff member.
- November Board meeting will have a Data Center Information Panel discussion.
- Mr. Martin recently met with the City of Riverside and Woolpert about Woodman Drive planning and development in Riverside.
- MVRPC is involved with re-imagining US 35 with the City of Dayton.
- MVRPC staff is working on the health insurance update.
- CenterPoint Energy is now officially National Fuel

## VII. ADJOURNMENT

Chairperson Stephanie Goff adjourned the meeting at 10:28 a.m.



# Regional Environment & Economic Development




# Greenville FPA Amendment

MIAMI VALLEY
Regional Planning Commission



# FPA Basics

# Big Picture



SHELBY
De Graff
Sidney
West Liberty
Versailles
Union City
DARKE
Bradford
Piqua
CHAMPAIGN
Urbana
Greenville
MIAMI
Hollansburg
Northridge
Tipp City
New Carlisle
Springfield
Brookville
Trotwood
Riverside
Dayton
Eaton
New Lebanon
Kettering
Xenia
Miami
Springboro

# Facility Planning Area (FPA)

The FPA is the area within which the Designated Management Agency is responsible for the **planning, financing, construction, maintenance, and operation** of wastewater collection and treatment systems.



# Can Be More Than a Line on a Map



# Additional Notes

- Based on existing planning
  - Comprehensive Plan
  - Land Use Plan
  - Zoning
- Topographical Lines
  - Gravity sewers
  - Now, greater comfort with force mains, lift stations, pump stations
- Parcel Lines









# Greenville Update







Greenville Existing FPA
Pikeville
Stelvideo
Wakefield
GREENVILLE
CITY PARK
Bears
Greenville
Concord
Poplar Ridg
Legend
Greenville FPA
Hydro Lines
SUBTYPEFIELD
Major
Minor
Secondary
Unknown
<all other values>
N
0
0.38
0.75
1.5 Miles
Frys Corners
Nineveh
Ohio Rail Development Commission, Esri, TomTom, Garmin, SafeGraph, GeoTechnologies, Inc, METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS, USDA, USFWS


Greenville Existing FPA
Pikeville
Stelvideo
Wakefield
GREENVILLE
CITY PARK
Bears
Greenville
Concord
Poplar Ridg
Legend
Greenville FPA
Greenville FPA Proposed
Hydro Lines
SUBTYPEFIELD
Major
Minor
Secondary
Unknown
<all other values>
N
0 0.38 0.75 1.5 Miles
Frys Corners
Nineveh
Ohio Rail Development Commission, Esri, TomTom, Garmin, SafeGraph, GeoTechnologies, Inc, METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS, USDA, USFWS

# Thank you!
# Discussion and Comments

**Rebecca Carr**
AT & Environmental Planner
Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission
rcarr@mvrpc.org
937.531.6547

# FPA Policy Updates









Matt Lindsay

Presenter

# Overview


- Background
  - Policies
  - Guidelines
- Purpose of the Working Group
- Proposed Timeline
- Current Drafts
- Comment Period



# FPA Policies Background

# Facility Planning Policies

- MVRPC designated Areawide Water Quality Planning Agency in 1972
- Adopted in 2005
- Defines “Primary Designated Management Agency” (DMA) and “Satellite DMA.”
- Defines “Facility Planning Area” (FPA)
- Describes the processes for modifications to DMAs and FPAs



MIAMI VALLEY
Regional Planning Commission
Shaping Our Region's Future Together

AREAWIDE WASTEWATER FACILITY PLANNING POLICIES

Adopted by MVRPC Board of Directors
September 1, 2005


I. INTRODUCTION

II. POLICIES FOR FACILITY PLAN AND FACILITY PLANNING AREA UPDATES page 2

A. Designated Management Agencies (DMAs) p. 4
B. Facility Planning Area (FPA) Boundaries; p. 5
C. Modifications to FPA Boundaries; p. 6
D. Development of local wastewater management options; p. 7
E. AWQMP Consistency Reviews; p. 9
F. Utilization of Areawide population projections; p. 10
G. Modifications to DMAs; p. 11
H. Nomination of New DMAs. p. 14

III. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR SUPPORTING ACTIONS BY LOCAL JURISDICTIONS p. 15



6 North Main Street, Suite 400
Dayton, Ohio 45402
Telephone: (937) 223-6323
Fax: (937) 223-9750


1

## Policy B

- Primary DMA must maintain a 20-year facility plan covering its FPA
- Overlapping FPAs are prohibited.
- "Applicants involved in [FPA] conflicts are expected to make every effort to arrive at a solution acceptable to all parties involved."
  - Satellite Agreements are a suggested resolution.

## Policy C

- FPA changes are subject to MVRPC Board approval
- DMAs requesting FPA changes must:
  - Demonstrate that their facility plan is capable of managing the expected waste flow.
  - "…solicit support from all affected jurisdictions, including any other DMA that may be affected by the [change]."

# FPA Policy Highlights

- **Policy A – Designated Management Agencies**
  - Each FPA shall have 1 Primary DMA responsible for planning for wastewater treatment.
  - Municipalities, Counties, Sanitary Sewer Districts may be DMAs
  - Allows and defines Satellite DMAs
- **Policy D – Local Wastewater Management Options**
  - Suggests 6 categories of wastewater management options. (see page 7)
  - Requires coordination with County Health Districts for areas designated for HSTS treatment.
- **Policy E – AWQMP Consistency Reviews**
  - MVRPC staff review of projects proposed by DMA
  - Projects outside current FPA boundary are not consistent with the regional plan
  - MVRPC shall keep records of consistency reviews (available for DMA review).
- **Policy F – Areawide Population Projections**
  - Population projections used for local Facility Planning shall conform to population projections developed by MVRPC or the Ohio Department of Development.
- **Policy G – Modifications to DMAs**
  - Adversarial process for de-designating Primary management agencies
- **Policy H – New DMAs**
  - Process for designation of new DMAs

# FPA Update Guidelines

- **Adopted at the same time as the policies – in 2005.**
- **Outline of all the required information needed from a DMA requesting a change to their FPA**

A. GIS map of the proposed boundary

B. 20-year population projection for the proposed FPA

C. Description of existing and proposed wastewater treatment options for the FPA and the new FPA area

D. Verification of capability to provide wastewater treatment to the proposed FPA addition

E. Table showing current plant permit limits, capacities, and projected plant capacities

F. Narrative discussion of how the plan described in “C” will meet the needs of the projected population in the new FPA area.

G. Narrative discussion of how the plan described in “C” will be protective of water resources.

H. Narrative discussion of how the plan described in “C” agrees or conflicts with the community’s plans and the plans of neighboring communities/DMAs

I. Documentation of public participation conducted by the applicant regarding the Facility Plan change or boundary change, along with letters of support from neighboring DMA, jurisdictions, sewer districts.

# FPA Update Process

- **The process for review and approval of Facility Plan and/or Facility Planning Area Update proposals shall follow the following sequence:**

  A. Proposals will be reviewed by MVRPC staff for completeness. MVRPC may request additional information as appropriate.

  B. MVRPC staff will submit complete proposals for review and input to the MVRPC **Areawide Facility Planning Subcommittee**. Applicant will have the option of making a brief formal presentation on the proposal to the Subcommittee.

  C. Staff collects input on the proposal from the Areawide Facility Planning Subcommittee.

**MVRPC: Public Notice, Public Comment Period, Public Meeting**

  D. Staff forwards proposal and input to the MVRPC Technical Advisory Committee for additional input. Applicant will have the option of making a formal presentation on the proposal.

  E. Staff summarizes all input and develops recommendation.


  F. Staff presents proposal, input, and recommendation to MVRPC Board of Directors for action.

  G. Approved updates are included in future amendments and revisions to the MVRPC's Areawide Water Quality Management Plan, and subsequently forwarded to the Ohio EPA for State and Federal certification.


# Purpose of the Working Group

# Purpose of the FPA Policy Review Working Group


- Review how the existing policies address FPA changes and how they work in actual practice.
- Identify ways the process could be improved.
- Propose changes to the policies for consideration.
- Fulfill a staff commitment to the Board of Directors.



# FPA Modification Process – Working Group Proposed Timeline



# FPA Modification Process – Working Group Proposed Timeline



# Proposed Updates

# Current **DRAFT** Policies

- The redline delegates more review authority to MVRPC staff — both by reordering the staff/AFPSC review sequence in Policy C and by making staff (not AFPSC) the first-line reviewer of alternative population projections in Policy F.
- It creates a Board-bypass fast track for annexation-only FPA boundary changes — the most structurally significant addition.
- It adds a formal PTI consistency-review section that wasn't previously documented.
- It narrows the AWQMP consistency test by dropping the "meets Ohio EPA's regulatory and technical requirements" factor.
- It relaxes a mandate (FPA subdivision into categories goes from "shall" to "may").
- Most of the rest is terminology modernization (Facility Plan → Wastewater Master Plan, POTW → WWTF) and a stale data point (census year).


# Current **DRAFT** Guidelines

- **"Facility Plan" is being retired as a covered document type.** Removes references to "Facility Plan (FP)" so the guidelines apply solely to Facility Planning Area (FPA) updates.
- **Expanded rationale and flexibility up front.** Additional triggers for updates (DMA-initiated, annexation-driven) and lets applicants reuse existing master-plan content for required elements.
- **Technical requirements tightened in some places, loosened in others.** Mapping format is tightened (GIS-only), while population projections are loosened (DMA's own projections allowed with justification). The environmental-protection standard in Section F is narrowed to NPDES compliance, with a new O&M requirement for septic systems added alongside it.
- **Broader interagency review.** The Water & Environment Subcommittee and RTPO are newly written into the review process (Section III) and given their own rows in the meeting-schedule table (Section IV). . This formalizes an existing practice which evolved into use after 2005.
- **Administrative housekeeping.** Board meeting time shifts to 9:00 a.m., the Great Miami River Watershed Network scheduling tie-in is dropped, and the approval date is reset to a placeholder.

# Opportunities to Comment

- The public comment period was held from October 6th 2026 to November 4th 2026.
- At our offices:

  6 North Main Street
  Suite 400
  Dayton, OH 45402



MIAMI VALLEY
Regional Planning Commission
Shaping Our Region's Future Together

**Guidelines for ~~Facility Plan (FP) and~~ Facility Planning Area (FPA) Update Proposals: Content, Submittal & Review**

**Facility Plan Planning Area Updates**
**~~(With or without change in Facility Planning Area)~~**

I. As part of its NPDES permit process, the Ohio EPA is encouraging, and in some instances requiring, Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs) to update their Wastewater Treatment ~~Facility~~ Master Plans (~~previously~~ also known as 201 Facility Plans). Such updates may also be initiated by the Designated Management Agency itself in response to evolving local comprehensive planning or development conditions.

Changes to wastewater master plans may also entail changes to wastewater Facility Planning Areas (FPA). FPA boundary changes may also arise from simple annexations or ongoing community development independent of any change in the current master plan. Indeed, master plans may anticipate future development and annexations that will require future FPA boundary updates.

As the Designated Planning Agency responsible for their review and approval, MVRPC is requiring that ~~Facility Plan and~~ Facility Planning Area Update proposals shall include the following minimum elements listed below. Designated Management Agencies (DMA) seeking FPA updates should be able to point to sections of their current wastewater master plan to provide the information required below. In particular portions of a wastewater master plan likely provide adequate responses for elements C, D, E, and F.

A. Appropriate map(s) rendered as an ESRI GIS dataset (i.e., ~~coverage,~~ shapefile, or geodatabase) projected in Ohio State Plane South, Feet, NAD83. ~~Alternatively, an electronic CAD file (i.e., dwg or .dxf) can be submitted.~~ Maps must include the following elements:
   1) all existing wastewater treatment plants (including package plants) within the FPA;
   2) the current FPA boundary;
   3) all ~~any~~ proposed FPA boundary changes;
   4) sub-area boundaries (where applicable) as described by the following table:

Email comments: mlindsay@mvrpc.org

# Comments

- No comments were received during the public comment period.

**Rebecca Carr**
AT & Environmental Planner
Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission
rcarr@mvrpc.org
937.531.6547

# Comments/Questions

**Matt Lindsay**
Director, Regional Environment and Economic Development
Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission
mlindsay@mvrpc.org
937.531.6548

November 6, 2026

From: MVRPC Staff

To: RTPO Steering Committee, Technical Advisory Committee, MVRPC Board of Directors

Subject: Proposed AWQMP 2026 Amendment: Greenville FPA; Updates to MVRPC Facility Planning Policies and Facility Planning Area Update Guidelines

The proposed 2026 Amendment to the Areawide Water Quality Management Plan includes two separate elements:

1. A boundary update for the Greenville Facility Planning Area in Darke County
2. An update to the MVRPC Facility Planning Policies and Facility Planning Area Update Guidelines.

**Greenville Facility Planning Area**

Outreach conducted to all Designated Management Agency communities in Darke County in 2025 highlighted the need for updates to the FPA boundary for Greenville. It was learned that the Greenville corporate boundary and sanitary sewer service area already extended beyond the current FPA boundary shown in the AWQMP.

MVRPC staff worked with City of Greenville staff to develop the elements of a complete request to update the FPA boundary to reflect current facts on the ground in Greenville. Concurrently, Greenville has been in the final stages of a major upgrade to the wastewater treatment facility. The engineering studies for that project were able to provide much of the required data needed for the update request.

The current and proposed FPA maps for Greenville are attached for review. Data ad responses from the city confirm the city's ability to serve the proposed area and maintain compliance with the Ohio EPA NPDES permit for the facility. Indeed, as noted, the city is already serving these areas.

Staff recommends approval of the updated boundary for the Greenville FPA.

**Facility Planning Policies and Guidelines for PA Updates**

MVRPC staff have been facilitating a working group of wastewater Designated Management Agencies to review and develop proposed updates to the Facility Planning Policies and the Facility Planning Area Update Guidelines. These proposed updates are presented here for


review by the technical and policy committees of MVRPC as amendments to the Areawide Water Quality Management Plan.

Background

The current form of the Facility Planning Policies and the Facility Planning Area Update Guidelines were adopted by the MVRPC Board of Directors in September of 2005. These documents establish the policies MVRPC uses in maintaining and updating the wastewater management portions of the Areawide Water Quality Management Plan (also referred to as the 208 Plan). The policies address requirements for wastewater planning, Designated Management Agencies (DMA), and for establishing and changing the boundaries of Facility Planning Areas (FPA). The Guidelines document sets the minimum required information for MVRPC consideration of a request to update an FPA boundary.

In the 21 years since the policies and guidelines were adopted changes in technology and Oho EPA and MVRPC processes have created a need to review the policies to ensure the documents reflect current needs and actual practice. At the direction of the MVRPC Board of Directors a Working Group was established to conduct this review of the policies and guidelines. The Working Group met three times in 2026 to set goals for policy changes, review how current language does or does not meet those goals and to develop proposed text changes to reflect those goals. The Working Group unanimously recommended the proposed edits forward for review by all DMA communities and through the MVRPC committees.

The graphic, below, sketches out the committee workflow for 2026.



Proposed Policy and Guideline Updates

The following bullets summarize the effects of the proposed updates to the Policies and Guidelines.

Facility Planning Policies:

- The update **delegates more review authority to MVRPC staff** — both by reordering the staff/AFPSC review sequence in Policy C and by making staff (not AFPSC) the first-line reviewer of alternative population projections in Policy F.
- It **creates a Board-bypass fast track** for annexation-only FPA boundary changes — the most structurally significant addition.
- It **adds a formal PTI consistency-review section** that wasn't previously documented.
- It **narrows the AWQMP consistency test** by dropping the "meets Ohio EPA's regulatory and technical requirements" factor.
- It **relaxes a mandate** (FPA subdivision into categories goes from "shall" to "may").

FPA Update Guidelines:

- **"Facility Plan" is being retired as a covered document type.** Nearly a dozen edits, scattered across the title, intro, and Sections H, I, and III, strip out references to "Facility Plan (FP)" so the guidelines apply solely to Facility Planning Area (FPA) updates.
- **Expanded rationale and flexibility up front.** New intro language explains additional triggers for updates (DMA-initiated, annexation-driven) and lets applicants reuse existing master-plan content for several required elements.
- **Technical requirements tightened in some places, loosened in others.** Mapping format is tightened (GIS-only, drop the legacy "coverage" format and CAD alternative), while population projections are loosened (DMA's own projections allowed with justification, rather than mandatory agreement with MVRPC's). The environmental-protection standard in Section F is narrowed to NPDES compliance specifically, with a new O&M requirement for septic systems added alongside it.
- **Broader interagency review.** The Water & Environment Subcommittee and RTPO are newly written into the review process (Section III) and given their own rows in the meeting-schedule table (Section IV).
- **New RTPO / Water & Environment Subcommittee steps.** This formalizes an existing practice which evolved into use after 2005.

The complete edits to the documents are attached using ~~strikethrough~~ formatting for deletions and blue text for additions.

**Stakeholder and Public Review**

The proposed policy and guideline changes were developed in close coordination with the FPA Policy Working Group – comprised of representatives from jurisdictions across the region. This included villages, cities, counties and county public health districts. The proposed edits were forwarded to all Designated Management Agencies in the planning area for their review and comment.


MVRPC managed a public participation period, including an open, virtual public meeting on the proposed amendment from October 6, 2026 through November 4, 2026. The public meeting was held on October 27, 2026. This public comment period was for the entire proposed 2026 Amendment – including both the Greenville FPA update and the policy updates. No comments were received during the comment period; a public participation summary document will be created.


Staff Recommendation

MVRPC staff recommends adoption of the updates to the Facility Planning Policies and the FPA Update Guidelines. Staff reserves the option to further edit the proposed updates or to revise this recommendation based on input from the Public Comment period.

**2026 Amendment Recommendation**

Staff recommends adoption of the 2026 Amendment to the Areawide Water Quality Management Plan as presented.


Greenville Existing FPA
Pikeville
Stelvideo
Wakefield
GREENVILLE
CITY PARK
Bears
Greenville
Concord
Poplar Ridg
Legend
Greenville FPA
Hydro Lines
SUBTYPEFIELD
Major
Minor
Secondary
Unknown
<all other values>
N
0
0.38
0.75
1.5 Miles
Frys Corners
Nineveh
Ohio Rail Development Commission, Esri, TomTom, Garmin, SafeGraph, GeoTechnologies, Inc, METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS, USDA, USFWS


Greenville Existing and Proposed FPA
Pikeville
Stelvideo
Wakefield
GREENVILLE CITY PARK
Bears
Greenville
Concord
Poplar Ridg
Legend
Greenville FPA
Greenville FPA Proposed
N
0 0.38 0.75 1.5 Miles
Frys Corners
Nineveh
Ohio Rail Development Commission, Esri, TomTom, Garmin, SafeGraph, GeoTechnologies, Inc, METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS, USDA, USFWS

MIAMI VALLEY
Regional Planning Commission
Shaping Our Region's Future Together

# AREAWIDE WASTEWATER FACILITY PLANNING POLICIES

## Adopted by MVRPC Board of Directors
## [DATE]

DRAFT


I. **INTRODUCTION** page 2

II. **POLICIES FOR - FACILITY PLANNING AREA UPDATES**

- A. Designated Management Agencies (DMAs) p. 4
- B. Facility Planning Area (FPA) Boundaries; p. 5
- C. Modifications to FPA Boundaries; p. 6
- D. Development of local wastewater management options; p. 8
- E. AWQMP Consistency Reviews; p. 10
- F. Utilization of Areawide population projections; p. 12
- G. Modifications to DMAs; p. 13
- H. Nomination of New DMAs. p. 16

III. **RECOMMENDATIONS FOR SUPPORTING ACTIONS BY LOCAL JURISDICTIONS** p. 17



**6 North Main Street, Suite 400**
**Dayton, Ohio 45402**
**Telephone: (937) 223-6323**
**Fax: (937) 223-9750**

# Section I – Introduction

## PURPOSE

This purpose of this document is to present background and establish policies to guide MVRPC's process for review and approval of new and/or modified Facility Planning Areas.

Within the Miami Valley Region, MVRPC serves as the designated Areawide Planning Agency for Darke, Preble, Miami, Montgomery, and Greene Counties. This planning region includes portions of the Great Miami and Little Miami River watersheds. In the undesignated areas of Ohio, Ohio EPA carries out the municipal wastewater planning function. Wastewater Treatment Master Plans and their associated Facility Planning Areas are the cornerstones of MVRPC's Areawide Water Quality Management Plan (AWQMP). The purpose of periodically updating Master Plans and Facility Planning Areas is to ensure that wastewater treatment needs are met in ways that are protective of water resources into the future.

## AREAWIDE WATER QUALITY PLANNING BACKGROUND

Requirements for Areawide Water Quality Planning are specified in Sections 205(j), 208 and 303 of the Clean Water Act. Municipal wastewater treatment is one of the six elements that need to be addressed in each 208 AWQMP. One of the objectives of Section 208 of the Clean Water Act was to establish integrated and coordinated facility planning for wastewater management. In order to accomplish this objective in urban areas where competition for service areas was expected to be a concern, the Clean Water Act also called for the Areawide Planning Agencies to assist in the resolution of such conflicts as they might arise.

Owners and operators of wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs, aka Publicly Owned Treatment Works or POTWs) are identified in the AWQMP as Designated Management Agencies (DMA). Each DMA is responsible for developing and maintaining a Wastewater Treatment Facility Plan (FP, now more commonly referred to as a Wastewater Master Plan) that identifies and prescribes wastewater management options in a surrounding Facility Planning Area (FPA). These management options must represent current and best understanding about where sewers will be extended and where areas will remain unsewered over the course of the next twenty years.

The original FPA boundaries were delineated by each DMA with the cooperation of MVRPC and Ohio EPA. The establishment of the FPA boundaries was approached in various ways. Some communities desired to limit their planning area to the extent of their existing jurisdictional authority. Others extended their boundaries outside of their jurisdictional boundaries based on the natural drainage boundaries. At the time, natural drainage boundaries were seldom breached, as the use of pumping stations had not yet become as commonplace as today. In some areas, the County Sanitary Engineer assumed the facilities planning role for a portion of a County. In others, special Sewer Districts took a regional approach to providing sewer service into the future.

As part of the DMA designation process, the owners/operators of treatment facilities were designated by the 208 AWQMP to have the authority for sewer-related planning in clearly delineated boundaries. These boundaries were once commonly referred to as 201 Facility

DRAFT

Planning Areas as required under Clean Water Action Section 201 Construction Grants program. They are now simply called Facility Planning Areas (FPAs).

For all of the facility planning actions that were taken, there had to be a rationale for each decision made by the DMA involved. In addition to MVRPC approval, Ohio EPA had to concur with each of these decisions, at least as to the effects that they would have on receiving streams. DMAs had to develop and implement plans that would satisfactorily solve any pollution problems associated with their system. Expansion of a service area beyond that identified in the facility plan was allowed as long as they met all applicable water quality standards and had received the consent of affected jurisdictions.

Ohio EPA's decisions concerning certain NPDES permits, permits to install (PTIs) and State Revolving Fund loans for wastewater treatment may not be inconsistent with the AWQMP. Ohio EPA and MVRPC coordinate on ensuring that new wastewater treatment-related proposals are consistent with the current AWQMP.

DRAFT

# Section II – Facility Planning Policies

### POLICY A: DESIGNATED MANAGEMENT AGENCIES (DMAS)

Primary DMAs

Each Facility Planning Area shall have a single primary DMA for municipal wastewater treatment. The 1983 MVRPC 208 AWQMP established the basis for evaluating all sanitary sewer plans that have been proposed since the Plan was originally adopted. For each area where sewers are planned, a single local management agency is designated to take the lead in facility planning. This agency becomes the primary Designated Management Agency (DMA) for wastewater management planning. DMAs may include municipalities, counties, and sanitary sewer districts authorized under Ohio law to perform these functions.

The designation of DMAs guards against duplication of services and investment in infrastructure by preventing multiple and potentially competing treatment facilities from being planned for the FPA. This is important because cost/benefit and feasibility analyses hinge on the projected service demand. The sizing of sewer lines and wastewater treatment plants must reflect existing and projected populations. If two POTWs were to compete for the same customers, the duplication of service would be cost prohibitive, could result in plant operation problems, or both.

The 1983 Plan specifies an entity within each FPA that serves as the primary DMA. While the designation gives the primary DMA the lead responsibility for wastewater treatment planning, it does not imply that the DMA has an exclusive right or responsibility to provide wastewater treatment within part or all of the FPA (see satellite DMAs, below). The DMAs are listed in Figure 1.

Satellite DMAs

Satellite DMAs may be identified to carry out wastewater treatment functions via agreements with Primary DMAs. Many FPAs encompass land areas that lie outside of the political jurisdiction boundaries of the DMA responsible for wastewater planning. The AWQMP recognizes all service agreements that exist among a treatment facility owner/operator and the jurisdictions serviced by that facility. Those agreements may also specify which wastewater planning functions are to be assumed by the satellite jurisdictions. Each satellite jurisdiction named in such an agreement shall be recognized as a Satellite DMA for wastewater planning in accordance with the service agreement with the Primary DMA.

DRAFT

## POLICY B: FACILITY PLANNING AREA (FPA) BOUNDARIES

MVRPC shall maintain master maps of each DMA's FPA boundaries. The FPA serves as the "study area" for which each primary DMA shall develop and maintain a Wastewater Master Plan to provide for adequate wastewater treatment within the FPA over a 20-year time frame. Each plan shall include prescriptions that describe how and by whom wastewater will be managed within that DMA, as well as allocations for projected growth within that DMA.

Overlapping FPAs

The overlap of multiple FPAs will not be permitted in a Wastewater Master Plan or FPA updates. The Facility Planning process is intended to provide an organized and efficient approach to wastewater treatment planning. Allowing the overlap of FPAs brings undue confusion and conflict to the process, in addition to potentially resulting in duplication of effort, unwise pubic expenditures on redundant infrastructure, and excess plant capacities.

DMAs may consider establishing a Primary-Satellite DMA relationship to resolve overlapping boundary issues.

FPA Boundary Conflicts

When the original AWQMP was developed, there was little conflict in the establishment of the FPA boundaries. Any conflicts that did arise were generally resolved to the satisfaction of all parties and subsequently incorporated into the Plan. Before Ohio EPA accepted any FPA boundary delineation, affected municipalities and counties had to agree on the boundary. As a result of this, facility planning proceeded in a timely manner throughout the Miami Valley Region.

More recently, with increased growth and development, and the renewed emphasis on wastewater treatment facility planning, there is greater potential for conflict between DMAs. Such conflicts may be in various forms: (1) One DMA desires to assume facility planning responsibility over a portion of an adjacent FPA, where that FPA's Primary DMA has not provided desired wastewater planning; or (2) Two or more DMA's desire to assume facility planning responsibilities in an area not within any FPA; or (3) one DMA municipality annexes parcels in another DMA's FPA.

Applicants involved in such conflicts are expected to make every effort to arrive at a solution acceptable to all parties involved. A Primary-Satellite DMA agreement may be considered as a possible solution. Upon request the Areawide Facility Planning Sub Committee (AFPSC) may suggest alternatives to the parties involved. The AFPSC shall provide input to MVRPC staff as to a resolution. Staff shall make a recommendation to the Board of the MVRPC, after consultation with the Technical Advisory Committee.

DRAFT

## POLICY C: MODIFICATIONS TO FPA BOUNDARIES

All future changes to FPA boundaries are subject to approval of the MVRPC Board of Directors. In addition, the Board must approve all new FPAs. Such changes are effective upon Board approval and will be reflected in the next AWQMP update submitted for certification.

The current AWQMP recognizes the FPA designations that are identified in Figure 1, included with this policy. A DMA requesting a change must apply to MVRPC for redefinition of its boundaries. This will require the DMA to solicit support from all affected jurisdictions, including any other DMA that may be affected by the redefinition. If a change is sought for an FPA that crosses the planning area boundary between MVRPC and OKI or MVRPC and Ohio EPA, then the approval of both involved agencies will be required.

Initial application for a change to an FPA shall be made to MVRPC staff, which shall provide input to the AFPSC as to a recommendation and/or a resolution. Staff shall recommend an action to the Board of the MVRPC after consultation with the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC).

All applications for the redrawing of existing FPA boundaries must be accompanied by a written demonstration that an environmentally acceptable and affordable Facility Plan exists. The DMA must demonstrate that the boundary change will not jeopardize the ability of the WWTP to comply with its NPDES permit conditions. These plans must also estimate the impacts on existing rate structure of that WWTF. If the requested boundary change also involves a boundary conflict, the applicant and other affected parties are expected to make every effort to resolve the conflict prior to submitting for the boundary change, per the position stated in Policy B, above.

The AFPSC shall consider the following factors when reviewing all applications for FPA boundary changes:

1) The proposal's effect upon attainment of any Water Quality Standard in any applicable receiving waters;
2) The proposal's effect upon any other DMA's Facility Plan as regards, for example, facility engineering or financing;
3) The proposal's compatibility with land use plans within and surrounding the FPA;
4) The local support for the proposal; and
5) Preference for full utilization of existing facilities and sewers over expansion of other facilities and sewers.

Special Case Annexation-Related FPA Boundary Changes

Under particular circumstances, as described below, applications for an FPA boundary update arising from a completed annexation, may be approved by MVRPC staff following AFPSC review. Such special case annexation-related FPA updates will not be subject to TAC or Board of Directors review and approval.

Requests for an FPA boundary change that meet all of the following criteria may be approved by MVRPC staff following AFPSC review:

DRAFT

1) The annexation process related to the requested FPA boundary change request has been completed
2) The FPA boundary change requests only the addition of parcels included in the related annexation
3) The annexed parcels (and therefore the FPA update parcels) were previously not included in any other FPA

MVRPC staff shall provide timely notice of such FPA updates to the Board of Directors.

DRAFT

## POLICY D: DEVELOPMENT OF LOCAL WASTEWATER MANAGEMENT OPTIONS

The development of a Wastewater Treatment Master Plan or Plan Update involves the identification of viable local wastewater management options or prescriptions. To accomplish this each FPA may be subdivided according to the type of wastewater treatment in existence, proposed, and/or predicted. The following categories generally occur:

**Table 1**

| Category | Description |
|---|---|
| 1 | Areas currently served with sanitary sewers |
| 2 | Areas expected to be served with sanitary sewers connected to an existing POTW during the next twenty years |
| 3 | Areas expected to be served with sanitary sewers connected to a new POTW in the next twenty years |
| 4 | Areas expected to remain on individual on-lot systems or semi-public systems, and where local officials are oriented to maintaining an unsewered status for the foreseeable future |
| 5 | Areas currently unsewered where local officials are oriented to accepting sewers if feasible and if found to be consistent with the AWQMP |
| 6 | Areas for which no wastewater management options have been declared |

The decision as to the classification of any given area is made by the Primary DMA in cooperation with any other affected jurisdictions, MVRPC, and the Ohio EPA. In cases where the DMA does not expressly delineate category areas within their FPA, areas outside of the current service area will be considered category 6 by default.

At present, DMAs develop sewering plans that are optimized from an engineering standpoint within their FPA. While coordination with local jurisdictions regularly occurs when a WWTF serves more than a single community, there is no provision in the existing AWQMP that would encourage engineering plans to be amended based upon the desire of a local government to manage growth within its jurisdiction. This policy update provides such a mechanism.[1] Local governments are – encouraged to identify where they want central sewers and where they do not. The DMA in each FPA must consult with affected jurisdictions and take into account their input in cases that do not raise engineering or efficiency limitations.

In those areas where local officials want to restrict wastewater treatment to individual on-site systems, several conditions must be met. The county or municipal health departments responsible for managing on-site systems must authorize their use in the area under discussion. The provisions of ORC 6111 and OAC 3701-29-06 (I) that require connection to sanitary sewers when they become available must be complied with. The designation of an area as “onsite systems only,” or Category 4 from Table 1, applies as long as Ohio

[1] Facility planning should not be used in lieu of community comprehensive planning to limit growth. Rather, 201 planning should reflect broad-based community decisions about growth.

EPA does not mandate sewers under ORC 6117.34 if a water quality problem is demonstrated.

Policies of local health departments, which have legal responsibility and authority to influence on-site wastewater treatment, continue to be recognized under this policy. Ohio EPA and the Ohio Department of Health (ODH) coordinate on regulation of on-site wastewater treatment. Ohio Revised Code chapter 3718 and Ohio Administrative Code chapter 3701-29 govern regulation of home sewage treatment systems. ODH establishes statewide standards and local boards of health issue permits and conduct inspections. Local boards may set stricter standards than statewide minimums.

Local wastewater master plans may remain flexible to the extent desired by the community. These plans serve to guide the wastewater management decisions of local landowners. It is recognized that all documented wastewater-related water quality problems that exist now or that develop in the future must be remediated in a timely manner by the best means available. Where wastewater-related problems do not exist, local jurisdictions can decide if they prefer to protect water quality by utilizing individual on-site systems or centralized sanitary sewers. By identifying the areas that have no plans for sewer extensions in the next 20 years in a Facility Plan, jurisdictions notify all landowners of the need for them to plan for the installation and maintenance of on-site systems. In areas where sanitary sewers are likely to be extended, repair and maintenance of problematic on-site systems may be warranted instead of total system replacement. In all cases, landowners are provided notice by this Facility Planning Policy to consult with local government officials before proceeding with their wastewater plans.

DRAFT

## POLICY E: AWQMP CONSISTENCY REVIEWS

Wastewater Master Plans

Planning for future wastewater treatment needs is an inexact science. Assumptions are made relative to the size and extent of population growth. During the engineering phase of some projects, obstacles sometimes arise that render previously preferred alternatives impractical. With time, local conditions can change, resulting in modifications to previously preferred alternatives. Additions to existing treatment works or new treatment works continue to be proposed to meet growth demands and evolving NPDES permit requirements.

Updates to Wastewater Master Plans may be needed for a variety (or a combination) of reasons, including an increase in discharge, new discharges, new discharge limits, upgrades in treatment processes, the extension of sewer lines to previously unsewered areas, or the installation of pump stations.

All proposed wastewater master plan changes shall be developed in a manner consistent with AWQMP for two reasons: 1) the financial arrangements for the development of treatment works must be based on a sound estimate of future service requirements and projections of financial resources; and 2) The AWQMP requires planning for wastewater treatment capacity to meet only actual and anticipated treatment needs. These financial and planning issues are important concerns, thus AWQMP Consistency Reviews shall be available for any project proposed by an Areawide DMA.

Specifically, a consistency review will look at proposed updates related to geography and population. Under the AWQMP, any action proposed by a DMA shall be deemed consistent with the Plan as long as it:
(1) Consists solely of actions that are within the existing FPA boundary, and
(2) Conforms to accepted regional population projections (see Policy F).

If a DMA plans to extend service outside of its established FPA boundary, consistency will not be attained until all affected parties agree to the need for the change. If a proposal infringes on the boundary of another adjacent FPA, the applicant must secure the permission of the DMA within that neighboring FPA. In instances where applicants propose to extend service into areas where no facility planning has yet taken place (no FPA exists), such a proposal can be deemed consistent with the AWQMP by MVRPC and Ohio EPA, as long as the local jurisdictions affected by the extension agree to it. In any of these cases, the process for submitting a boundary modification to the AFPSC (see Policy C, above) should be observed.

Some communities in the Region are served by a neighboring community or regional system. The preferences expressed by these communities are subject to the acceptance of the DMA providing service. During a plan consistency review, the DMA must demonstrate that consultation has occurred with communities in its facility planning area to ascertain community preferences for sanitary sewer service.

Due to the need for timely response, Consistency Reviews shall be conducted by MVRPC staff. Staff shall keep the AFPSC informed as to Consistency Reviews performed, including subjects of the reviews, timeliness, and responses or results.

DRAFT

Permits to Install

On a regular basis Ohio EPA shares information from applications for surface water permits to install (PTI) received for the five counties within MVRPC's areawide designation. The PTIs can be for extensions of sanitary sewers or for installation (in certain cases) of on-site treatment systems.

As a service to Ohio EPA permit review staff, MVRPC conducts a basic consistency review of PTI applications. These consistency reviews are strictly geographic reviews to ensure:
(1) a proposed sanitary sewer project is within the existing Facility Planning Area for the wastewater treatment facility proposed to receive flows, or,
(2) a proposed on-site treatment system project is not within a facility planning area or is in a portion of an FPA area for which the DMA has determined on-site treatment is the preferred treatment approach.

Data regarding PTI reviews are saved in GIS format, and can be made available to the AFPSC on a regular basis or as needed.

DRAFT

## POLICY F: UTILIZATION OF AREAWIDE POPULATION PROJECTIONS

As time has passed, the population projections used in the original 1983 AWQMP have become outdated. MVRPC's original AWQMP and the Facility Plans it references were based upon population projections from the 1980 census. Facility Plan Updates and Facility Planning Area modifications since that time have been based on the most current census data available. MVRPC currently utilizes population projections based on the 2010 census for all of its water quality planning purposes. MVRPC will maintain FPA-scale and Census geography-scale population projections for the use of Designated Management Agencies in development of wastewater master plans, FPA updates, and even PTI application development, if desired.

The Ohio Department of Development prepares the official population projections for the State of Ohio. They allocate projections to the county level. MVRPC further disaggregates the State's county-level projections into traffic analysis zones (TAZ) for its transportation planning counties (Greene, Miami, and Montgomery). This is accomplished based on an evaluation of available land for development, combined with local zoning requirements. Additional inputs are used as appropriate. The most recent TAZ population projections produced by MVRPC, are the ones to be used for consistency reviews. MVRPC staff will assist jurisdictions seeking the current population projections for the TAZs corresponding to their Facility Planning Areas.

In the cases of Darke and Preble Counties, where MVRPC-produced TAZ population projections do not exist, ODOD county-level projections may be used. The county-level population projections can serve as a starting point for the evaluation of population projections within facility planning areas. The facility planning process may disaggregate county projections to smaller areas, using justifiable or documented assumptions, such as existing zoning and land use plans. MVRPC can assist in this process, if desired.

The consistency review of population projections used to size the proposed facility or project guards against the use of optimistically high projections that could lead to the inability of a community to financially support its WWTP if the projections are not realized.

Utilization of Alternative Population Projections

Designated Management Agencies may provide alternative population projections for use in master planning or PTI applications, with justification/explanation for their use.

MVRPC staff shall review all Facility Planning applicants' alternative population projections for consistency with the MVRPC population projections. If an applicant's projections are not consistent, the applicant's justification/explanation for why the alternative should be used over the regional projection will be reviewed. Staff, if necessary, in consultation with the AFPSC, will determine if the alternative projections may be used in the subject case.

DRAFT

## POLICY G: MODIFICATIONS TO DESIGNATED MANAGEMENT AGENCIES (DMAS)

Primary DMAs that own or operate a Publicly Owned Treatment Works for wastewater have lead responsibility for sewer planning within their established Facility Planning Area, subject only to appeal to the MVRPC Board. Status as a DMA, however, does not imply that a jurisdiction or POTW has an exclusive right to provide services within an FPA.

In situations where (1) a Primary DMA has failed to provide services as outlined explicitly in its current Facility Plan, (2) the Facility Plan itself does not clearly prescribe how particular areas are to be served or by whom, (3) an Ohio EPA mandate for wastewater improvement exists, or (4) new situations arise that were not anticipated in the Facility Plan, then a case can be made for abandoning previous FPA boundaries and DMA designations so that new ones can be developed. In its 1993 Water Quality Management Plan Certification document, the Ohio EPA states:

> *"Ohio EPA will consider existing 208 planning and planning areas to the extent that the source(s) of the new discharges seeking permits were specifically anticipated and addressed in the planning process so that a specific entity was actually assigned responsibility for undertaking and providing treatment for the discharge. Where 201 Planning has been carried out and a specific alternative has been implemented, Ohio EPA will consider existing 201 planning areas to the extent that service to the entire planning area was the alternative chosen for implementation."*

Conflicts related to officially recognized FPA boundaries may occasionally occur. Furthermore, such conflicts may take on new dimensions that were not considered during the development of the original Plan. Some areas covered by an existing facility plan may want sewers to be extended to them while the Primary DMA either has no plans to extend service or has unacceptable conditions for service.

An appeal process that could result in the redefinition of existing FPA boundaries is necessary. Under this policy, the Primary DMA for an approved FPA will continue to have primacy for sewer planning, but that primacy will no longer be as absolute as in the past. A well-documented request of any applicant to transfer a specified area out of a recognized FPA will be given consideration. A process to deal with the evaluation of each application must follow established guidelines. For instance, the DMA will maintain the right to provide for sewering of the designated area if it can demonstrate that its treatment system will be harmed by a redesignation. If the DMA can show that it will suffer economic harm, or if it can demonstrate that system integrity would be compromised by the change, it must be given the opportunity to maintain primacy while meeting the water quality and wastewater treatment needs of the subject area. Demonstrations of economic harm need to show that established federal guidelines for wastewater treatment affordability would not be met if the application for change were allowed to proceed.

When the need arises to consider changes to DMA designations within an FPA or affected jurisdictions seek to challenge DMA decisions and/or designations, the following policies shall apply:

1) Lead responsibility for sewer planning will be maintained by the Designated Management Agency in each established Facility Planning Area in all cases of challenge when the DMA can demonstrate any of the following:

DRAFT

a) That the system affordability would be negatively impacted by the suggested change; or
b) That system efficiency, defined as the ability to meet its NPDES permit limitations, would be compromised by a suggested change; or
c) The change would result in a violation of a condition of a Section 201 Facilities Construction Grant received through the USEPA or a provision of a State Revolving Fund Loan administered by the Ohio EPA.

System efficiency and integrity concerns must be tied to reasonable expectations that a WWTP will be unable to maintain compliance with its discharge permit limits. USEPA or the Ohio EPA must certify those cases where 201 Facility Grant or State Revolving Fund Loan conditions preclude a requested change in FPA boundaries.

In cases where central sewers are needed to comply with an Ohio EPA order to resolve an existing water quality problem, the DMA's primacy standing would be dependent on its ability and willingness to proceed with the sewer extensions and capacity upgrades if necessary. If the DMA is not prepared or is not able to proceed in a timely manner, the applicant for change can request a redrawing of the FPA boundary.

2) Lead planning responsibility for limited areas can be transferred from the Primary DMA in an established FPA in cases of challenge when an applicant for change can demonstrate all of the following:

a) That none of the conditions established in 1 (a) – (c) above apply (the burden of proof shall remain upon the existing DMA);
b) That the existing DMA is unprepared or is unwilling to extend service to the challenged area, or that they have conditions that are unacceptable to the applicant jurisdiction;
c) That an alternative sewering plan exists that protects the environment, and that the alternative plan is technically achievable, economically justifiable, and politically acceptable;
d) That the alternative plan enhances the quality, sustainability and coordination of growth and development in the Region; and
e) That the proposed DMA has legal authority to act.

Transfers must be approved by Ohio EPA and incorporated by amendment to MVRPC's AWQMP. A DMA's lead planning status could be dependent on its ability and willingness to proceed with the sewer extensions (and capacity upgrades if necessary) to an area assigned to an established FPA that requests such extensions. If the existing DMA is not prepared or is not able to proceed in a timely manner, and the applicant for change meets the burden required in Policy G (2), above, the applicant for change may request a redrawing of the FPA boundary (pursuant to Policy C, above) and, if necessary, the establishment of a new DMA (pursuant to Policy H). This request would be considered with the intention of identifying viable wastewater alternatives. The applicant would be required to demonstrate that a technically achievable, economically affordable and politically acceptable alternative exists. This plan could take the form of a Primary-Satellite DMA agreement. If the proposed plan is consistent with all other aspects of the AWQMP, it can result in a change being made to the existing FPA definition in favor of the applicant.

DRAFT

Where no other acceptable solution can be found, a jurisdiction that is part of another jurisdiction's FPA can request the right to develop plans to direct their wastewater to an alternative treatment works. This could be another existing POTW or an entirely new facility if one can be constructed.

The applicant is expected to make every effort to arrive at a solution acceptable to all parties. However, upon request, MVRPC's ongoing Facility Planning process will provide for a meeting with all affected parties in an attempt to develop a consensus agreement. When consensus cannot be reached, the MVRPC Areawide Facility Planning Subcommittee and Technical Advisory Committee will hear all viewpoints, and offer input to a staff recommendation that will be considered by the MVRPC Board of Directors.

A Board action on requests to modify a DMA would constitute an update to the AWQMP as far as future consistency reviews are concerned in the challenged area. It is important to note that Ohio EPA cannot issue a permit for any action that is not consistent with the AWQMP. FPA boundary disputes must be resolved prior to the review for consistency of any project by the MVRPC Board.

DRAFT

## POLICY H: NOMINATION OF NEW DMAS

All entities that are not designated as a DMA must apply for such status before their permit application can be processed. To become a DMA designee, the applicant must have adequate legal authority under Ohio law to serve as a DMA. Applicants must clearly identify the geographical extent of its proposed facility planning area and the wastewater treatment options to be selected for the area within a wastewater master plan. It must also demonstrate that all affected local governments have been consulted in the development of the project. Evidence of support from all affected jurisdictions (municipalities in incorporated areas and county government in unincorporated areas) must be secured. Any FPA infringements must be resolved either with the approval of the affected DMA or by appeal to the MVRPC Board. The applicant may propose an area for designation as an FPA that is larger than the current or proposed project service area. This can be done where it makes sense for purposes of future sewer planning.

The AFPSC shall review such applications for new DMAs, and provide input to staff as to a resolution. Staff shall recommend action to the MVRPC Board of Directors, after an Ohio EPA technical review and consultation with the Technical Advisory Committee.

The AFPSC shall consider the following factors when reviewing an application for designation of a new DMA:

1) The proposal's effect upon attainment of water quality standards in any applicable receiving waters;
2) The proposal's effect upon any other DMA's Facility Plan as regards, for example, facility engineering or financing;
3) The proposal's compatibility with land use plans within and surrounding the FPA;
4) The degree of local support for the proposal; and
5) The degree to which the proposed change enhances the quality, sustainability, and coordination of growth and development planning in the Region.
6) The degree of preference for full utilization of existing facilities and sewers over expansion or other facilities and sewers.

DRAFT

# Section III. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR SUPPORTING ACTIONS BY LOCAL JURISDICTIONS

In wastewater treatment planning it is important to recognize the priorities and input of local governments and agencies.

**Recommendation A:** The content and recommendations found in local and regional land use plans and comprehensive plans should be incorporated into the development of individual Wastewater Treatment Facility Plans and the AWQMP. As broader community plans are updated, it is recommended that wastewater master plans also be updated to reflect community priorities. The effectiveness of the AWQMP will be enhanced to the extent that it is consistent with these locally approved documents.

**Recommendation B:** Local jurisdictions should consider the use of the Joint Economic Development District (JEDD) approach or the Community Economic Development Agreement (CEDA) approach to address conflicting interests in the process of wastewater treatment infrastructure. Numerous cases exist in the Region where a municipality owns and operates a POTW whose FPA includes portions of surrounding townships. Some of these communities have a policy of extending sewer service only to areas that are annexed into the community. This is required because the municipalities have used their tax base to support the construction, operation, and maintenance of their sewer infrastructure and are attempting to ensure all beneficiaries pay a fair share of these costs. Annexation is the tool that they choose to use to accomplish this, but compulsory annexations in order to receive sanitary sewer service are often strongly contested.

Consideration of substitute measures such as a JEDD or a CEDA is encouraged to meet the needs of both the municipality in question and the neighboring township. A JEDD or CEDA can be established by neighboring communities and can allow an exchange of services and a sharing of tax revenues. In the scenario of a municipality attempting to recover capital costs, township residents in the area to be affected by the extension of sewer lines agree to be subject to a tax sharing agreement that would provide the municipality with the funds that they seek before extending the sewer lines. Where loss of business base is an issue, additional tax sharing may have to be negotiated. While not a solution for every case, consideration of the JEDD or CEDA approach is encouraged by MVRPC as a means of attaining the goals of the AWQMP.

DRAFT

MIAMI VALLEY
Regional Planning Commission
Shaping Our Region's Future Together

***Guidelines for***
***Facility Planning Area (FPA) Update Proposals:***
***Content, Submittal & Review***

## Facility Planning Area Updates

I. As part of its NPDES permit process, the Ohio EPA is encouraging, and in some instances requiring, Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs) to update their Wastewater Treatment Master Plans (also known as 201 Facility Plans). Such updates may also be initiated by the Designated Management Agency itself in response to evolving local comprehensive planning or development conditions.

Changes to wastewater master plans may also entail changes to wastewater Facility Planning Areas (FPA). FPA boundary changes may also arise from simple annexations or ongoing community development independent of any change in the current master plan. Indeed, master plans may anticipate future development and annexations that will require future FPA boundary updates.

As the Designated Planning Agency responsible for their review and approval, MVRPC is requiring that - Facility Planning Area Update proposals shall include the following minimum elements listed below. Designated Management Agencies (DMA) seeking FPA updates should be able to point to sections of their current wastewater master plan to provide the information required below. In particular portions of a wastewater master plan likely provide adequate responses for elements C, D, E, and F.

As stated in the Facility Planning Policies (B and C), if the requested boundary change also involves a boundary conflict, the applicant and other affected parties are expected to make every effort to resolve the conflict **prior to** submitting for the boundary change. A Primary-Satellite DMA agreement may be considered as a possible solution.

A. Appropriate map(s) rendered as an ESRI GIS dataset (i.e., shapefile, or geodatabase) projected in Ohio State Plane South, Feet, NAD83. Maps must include the following elements:
   1) all existing wastewater treatment plants (including package plants) within the FPA;
   2) the current FPA boundary;
   3) all proposed FPA boundary changes;
   4) sub-area boundaries (where applicable) as described by the following table:

DRAFT

5)

| Sub-area Category | Description |
|---|---|
| 1 | Areas currently served with sanitary sewers |
| 2 | Areas expected to be served with sanitary sewers connected to an existing POTW during the next twenty years |
| 3 | Areas expected to be served with sanitary sewers connected to a new POTW in the next twenty years |
| 4 | Areas expected to remain on individual on-lot systems or semi-public systems, and where local officials are oriented to maintaining an unsewered status for the foreseeable future |
| 5 | Areas currently unsewered where local officials are oriented to accepting sewers if feasible and if found to be consistent with the AWQMP |
| 6 | Areas for which no wastewater management options have been declared |

6) appropriate water bodies, and watershed boundaries with HUC numbers; and
7) appropriate jurisdictional boundaries.

B. Twenty (20) year population projections for the existing FPA and any proposed FPA changes based on the most recent census data. Population projections developed by MVRPC are preferred. Alternatively, population projections developed by the requesting DMA may be used if accompanied by explanation of their development and justification for their use in lieu of the MVRPC projections.

C. Description of existing and proposed wastewater treatment options for the FPA including future options prescribed for the unsewered / undeveloped areas within the FPA, i.e., on-site septic systems, package plants. Treatment options shall be described for the following scenarios within the FPA, as appropriate.

1) Areas currently served with sanitary sewers;
2) Areas expected to be served with sanitary sewers connected to an existing POTW during the next twenty years;
3) Areas expected to be served with sanitary sewers connected to a new POTW in the next twenty years;
4) Areas expected to remain on individual on-lot systems or semi-public systems, and where local officials are oriented to maintaining an unsewered status for the foreseeable future;
5) Areas currently unsewered where local officials are oriented to accepting sewers if feasible and if found to be consistent with the WQMP; and
6) Areas for which no wastewater management options have been declared.

D. Description of plans to provide wastewater treatment to any proposed FPA additions and verification of capability to do so. This should include information on current plant flow, current plant capacities, and estimates of future flow and population projections (based on current census data) for any new area to be incorporated, as well as schedules for planned upgrades.

DRAFT

E. Links to the current NPDES permit(s) for the treatment facility or table(s) showing current plant permit limits, existing plant capacities, and projected plant capacities.

F. Discussion of how the prescribed wastewater treatment options are or will be designed to ensure compliance with all discharge limits in the system's NPDES permit(s). If applicable, please also describe processes or programs to ensure proper operation and maintenance of on-site sewage treatment systems located inside the FPA boundary.

G. Discussion of how any proposed changes in the FPA boundaries and associated wastewater treatment options agree or conflict with the plans (zoning codes, comprehensive land use plans, watershed plans, etc.) of contiguous FPAs and potentially impacted jurisdictions.

H. Documentation of any public participation involved in updating the wastewater master plan and/or FPA along with endorsements from any other sewer districts, FPAs, and/or jurisdictions located within and/or adjacent to the Facility Planning Area. MVRPC recommends DMAs employ a public involvement strategy along the lines of the Public Participation rules detailed in 40 CFR Part 25.1 throughout the wastewater master plan and FPA update processes.

II. Submissions of proposed plan updates shall provide elaboration commensurate to the complexity of the proposal. Facility Planning Area Update proposals shall consist of
   A. A cover letter formally outlining the nature of the request and
   B. A summary document (maximum of 20 pages) containing the information required in Subsection A through G above (20 page maximum excludes the supporting documentation described in Subsection H above). The letter, summary and supporting documents, and maps must be submitted electronically for rapid distribution to the appropriate review committees. Hard copies may be requested by MVRPC, as needed.

III. The process for review and approval of Facility Planning Area Update proposals shall follow the following sequence:

   A. Proposals will be reviewed by MVRPC staff for completeness. MVRPC may request additional information as appropriate.
   B. MVRPC staff will submit the complete proposal for review and input to the MVRPC Areawide Facility Planning Subcommittee. Applicant will have the option of making a brief formal presentation on the proposal to the Subcommittee.
   C. Staff collects input on the proposal from the Areawide Facility Planning Subcommittee and includes the proposal on the next Water & Environment Subcommittee meeting. Applicant will have the option of making a formal presentation on the proposal.
   D. Staff forwards proposal and input to the MVRPC Technical Advisory Committee and/or the RTPO as appropriate for additional input. Applicant will have the option of making a formal presentation on the proposal.
   E. Staff summarizes all input and develops a recommendation.
   F. Staff presents proposal, input, and recommendation to MVRPC Board of Directors for action.
   G. Approved updates are included in future amendments and revisions to the MVRPC's Areawide Water Quality Management Plan, and subsequently forwarded to the Ohio EPA for State and Federal certification.

DRAFT

IV. To facilitate timely review and action on Update proposals, the applicant should be aware of the general meeting schedule of each of the committees mentioned above. Depending on when in the schedule an FPA boundary change proposal is submitted, it may take from 2 to 4 months to go through the approval process, assuming no additional information is requested of the applicant. The following general meeting schedule is used:

| **Committee** | **Meeting Schedule** |
|---|---|
| Areawide Facility Planning Subcommittee | As needed. |
| Water & Environment Subcommittee | Second Wednesdays of January, March, May, September, and November |
| RTPO (for updates specific to Preble, Darke and Shelby Counties) | Quarterly meetings in February, May, August and November |
| MVRPC Technical Advisory Committee | 3rd Thursday of the month. (9:30 a.m.) |
| MVRPC Board of Directors | 1st Thursday of each month. (9:00 a.m.) |

**Approved by the MVRPC Board of Directors**
**On [insert new date]**

DRAFT

**RESOLUTION NUMBER 26-32**
**AMENDING THE AREAWIDE WATER QUALITY MANAGEMENT PLAN TO UPDATE BOUNDARY FOR THE GREENVILLE FACILITY PLANNING AREA AND THE FACILITY PLANNING POLICIES AND GUIDELINES**

**WHEREAS**, the Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission is the Designated Planning Agency (DPA) for Water Quality Management Planning within the Miami Valley Region pursuant to Section 208 of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972 (P.L. 92-500) and 1977 (P.L. 95-217); and

**WHEREAS**, in fulfillment of its responsibilities as the DPA, MVRPC has prepared the 208 Areawide Water Quality Management Plan, and administers said Plan within its designated five-county water planning jurisdiction, including Greene, Montgomery, Miami, Preble, and Darke Counties, Ohio; and

**WHEREAS**, it is periodically necessary to amend said Plan to incorporate pertinent and appropriate modifications; and

**WHEREAS**, the following amendments, hereafter known as the 2026 AWQMP Amendment, and the FPA Policy Amendment have been proposed for the Areawide Water Quality Management Plan:

1. FPA Boundary modifications for the Greenville FPA
2. An update to the MVRPC Facility Planning Policies and Facility Planning Area Update Guidelines.

**WHEREAS**, the map for the Greenville Facility Planning Area has been proposed by the City of Greenville, reviewed by staff, RTPO, TAC and the Board of Directors, and was provided for public comment for a period of 30 days; and

**WHEREAS**, the proposed changes to the Facility Planning Policies were developed by the FPA working group, reviewed by staff, RTPO, TAC and the Board of Directors, and was provided for public comment for a period of 30 days.

**NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED** that the Commission hereby adopts the above-referenced 2026 Amendment to the Areawide Water Quality Management Plan and recommends its certification by the State of Ohio.

**BY ACTION OF THE** Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission's Board of Directors.

**Brian O. Martin, AICP**
Executive Director

**Robin Oda, Chairperson**
Board of Directors of the
Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

**Rachael Vonderhaar,**
**Third Vice-Chairperson**
Board of Directors of the Miami Valley
Regional Planning Commission

Date

MIAMI VALLEY
Regional Planning Commission

6 North Main Street, Suite 400
Dayton, Ohio 45402

t: 937.223.6323
f: 937.223.9750
TTY/TDD: 800.750.0750
www.mvrpc.org

# MEMORANDUM

**To:** Technical Advisory Committee, Board of Directors

**From:** MVRPC Staff

**Date:** November 10, 2026

**Subject:** SFY 2026-SFY 2029 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) Revision #15

Over the last few months MVRPC and ODOT have made numerous changes to the programming documents for various projects resulting in the need for a SFY2026-SFY2029 TIP revision. The attached TIP Tables 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4 and 5.4 reflect the updated information for each specific project. Modifications to Statewide Line Item projects are shown on Table 4.6 and are provided for information only. A TIP terminology explanation chart of key abbreviations used in the highway/bikeway tables precedes Table 4.1. A resolution adopting the proposed TIP revision is attached for your review and consideration. The MVRPC staff recommends your approval.

Attachments:

(1) TIP Abbreviation Table
(2) Amended MVRPC TIP tables: 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4 and 5.4
(3) Statewide Line Item Project table 4.6 (For information only)
(4) Resolution Adopting Revisions to the SFY2026-2029 TIP

# EXPLANATION OF ABBREVIATIONS USED IN TABLES 4.1 – 4.8

## Project I.D. #

First Three Characters
000 = Unique Project Number
Decimal Character = Subtype (as described below)
.1 = New Construction
.2 = Reconstruction
.3 = Resurface
.4 = Safety Improvement
.5 = Bridge Replacement/Rehabilitation
.6 = Signal Improvement
.7 = Bikeway/Pedestrian Improvement
.8 = Other Improvements

## PID #

ODOT "Project Identification Number"

## Air Quality Status

Identifies projects which were included
in the LRTP air quality conformity analysis
Upper Row = Project is Exempt or was Analyzed
Lower Row = Build Year Scenario (2020, 2030 or 2040)

## Phase of Work

ENG -Environmental and Contract Plan Preparation
ROW -Right-of-Way Acquisition
CON -Construction
SPR -Federal State Planning and Research
DBT -Debt Service

## LRTP Goal

G1 -Address regional transp. needs through improved planning
G2-1 -Encourage a stronger multi-modal network in the Region
G2-2 -Maintain the regional transportation system
G2-3 -Upgrade the regional transportation system
G2-4 -Incorporate regional land use strategies
G3 -Enhance attractiveness for future economic development
G4 -Encourage pursuit of alternative fuels to reduce emissions

## FUND CODES, DESCRIPTION AND TYPICAL FUNDING SPLIT

| Federal Allocation of ODOT or County Engineer Association Controlled Funds | Typical Fed./Local Share |
|---|---|
| BR -Bridge Replacement and Rehabilitation | 80/20 |
| EAR -Federal Earmark, Specific Source Undetermined at this Time | Varies |
| f-5307 -Urbanized Area Formula Grant | 80/20 |
| f-5310 -Enhanced Mobility of Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities | 80/20 |
| f-5311 -Formula Grants for Rural Areas | 80/20 |
| f-5337 -State of Good Repair Program | 80/20 |
| f-5339 -Bus and Bus Facilities Formula Program | 80/20 |
| HSIP -Highway Safety Improvement Program | 90/10 |
| NHPP -National Highway Performance Program | 80/20 |
| OTH -Other | Varies |
| SCR -State Carbon Reduction | 80/20 |
| SPR -Federal State Planning and Research | 80/20 |
| SRTS -Safe Routes to School | 100 |
| STA -Surface Transportation Program (ODOT Transportation Alternatives Set-aside) | 80/20 |
| STD -Surface Transportation Program (ODOT Allocation) | 80/20 |
| TRAC -Transportation Review Advisory Council | Varies |

| Federal Allocation of MVRPC Funds | Fed./Local Share |
|---|---|
| CMAQ -Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality | Varies |
| CR -Carbon Reduction | Varies |
| STP -Surface Transportation Program | Varies |
| TA -Surface Transportation Program (Transportation Alternatives Set-aside) | Varies |

| Other Funding Sources | Other/Local Share |
|---|---|
| CDBG -Community Development Block Grant | Varies |
| LOCAL -Local Funds | 0/100 |
| ODOD -Ohio Department of Development | Varies |
| OPWC -Issue 2/LTIP | 80/20 |
| STATE -ODOT State Funds | 0/100 |

ELLIS -ODOT's Project Monitoring Database
SLI -Statewide Line Item
TELUS -MVRPC's Project Monitoring Database

# Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

**Table 4.1 RECOMMENDED SFY 2026 - SFY 2029 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (HIGHWAY, BIKEWAY AND OTHER PROJECTS)**

**Greene County Projects**

**COUNTY, ROUTE, SECTION:** GRE071-00.59 **ODOT PID #** 117128 **MVRPC #** 2525.7 **PROJECT SPONSOR:** Beavercreek

**DESCRIPTION:** Shakertown Road from Willow Run Drive to Ankeney Middle School-Installation of an 8' wide sidepath along the south side of the roadway. Along with the sidepath, curb and gutter and storm sewer will be added along the south side of the roadway.

**COMMENTS :** Increased Local construction funds to reflect changes in Ellis.

**TOTAL COST (000):** $1,222 **LET TYPE:** Local-let **A.Q. :** Exempt **LRTP GOAL:** G2-1

| PHASE | FUND | PRIOR | SFY2026 | SFY2027 | SFY2028 | SFY2029 | Future |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ENG | LOCAL | $65 | | | | | |
| ENG | STATE | $3 | | | | | |
| ROW | STATE | $20 | | | | | |
| ROW | LOCAL | $25 | | | | | |
| CON | LOCAL | | | $762 | | | |
| CON | TA | | | $347 | | | |

**COUNTY, ROUTE, SECTION:** GRE - Beaver Valley Road Improvement **ODOT PID #** 119958 **MVRPC #** 2801.2 **PROJECT SPONSOR:** Beavercreek

**DESCRIPTION:** Beaver Valley Road from Dayton-Xenia Road to Hazel Drive-Widen the roadway to a uniform three lane section along with the installation of curb, storm sewer and the lowering of a portion of the roadway to improve sight lines. The project also includes the installation of a 10' wide path along the east side of the roadway to link the existing path along the Hunters Ridge neighborhood to the existing path in the vicinity of Dayton-Xenia Road.

**COMMENTS :** Increased Local construction funds to reflect changes in Ellis.

**TOTAL COST (000):** $2,872 **LET TYPE:** Local-let **A.Q. :** Exempt **LRTP GOAL:** G2-3

| PHASE | FUND | PRIOR | SFY2026 | SFY2027 | SFY2028 | SFY2029 | Future |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ENG | LOCAL | | $250 | | | | |
| ROW | LOCAL | | | $175 | | | |
| CON | CMAQ | | | | | $1,273 | |
| CON | LOCAL | | | | | $1,174 | |

**Table 4.1 RECOMMENDED SFY 2026 - SFY 2029 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (HIGHWAY, BIKEWAY AND OTHER PROJECTS)**

**Greene County Projects**

**COUNTY, ROUTE, SECTION:** GRE - Shakertown Road Improvement **ODOT PID #** 119964 **MVRPC #** 2804.2 **PROJECT SPONSOR:** Beavercreek

**DESCRIPTION:** Shakertown Road from Carthage Drive to Southern Belle Boulevard-Widen the roadway to a three lane pavement section. Along with this widening, a 5' sidewalk and an 10' sidewalk will be included with the project. This roadway will also be improved with curb and gutter and storm sewer throughout the project.

**COMMENTS :** Increased Local construction funds to reflect changes in Ellis.

**TOTAL COST (000):** $6,224 **LET TYPE:** Local-let **A.Q. :** Exempt **LRTP GOAL:** G2-3

| PHASE | FUND | PRIOR | SFY2026 | SFY2027 | SFY2028 | SFY2029 | Future |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ENG | LOCAL | $450 | | | | | |
| ROW | LOCAL | $225 | | | | | |
| CON | CMAQ | | | $2,408 | | | |
| CON | LOCAL | | | $3,141 | | | |

**COUNTY, ROUTE, SECTION:** GRE036-04.02 **ODOT PID #** 119980 **MVRPC #** 2793.4 **PROJECT SPONSOR:** Greene County

**DESCRIPTION:** Indian Ripple Road at Factory Road-Replace the existing two-way stop control intersection with a traffic signal and turn lanes to improve safety and capacity of the intersection.

**COMMENTS :** Decreased Local construction funds to reflect changes in Ellis.

**TOTAL COST (000):** $6,498 **LET TYPE:** Local-let **A.Q. :** Exempt **LRTP GOAL:** G2-3

| PHASE | FUND | PRIOR | SFY2026 | SFY2027 | SFY2028 | SFY2029 | Future |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ENG | CMAQ | $398 | | | | | |
| ENG | LOCAL | $99 | | | | | |
| ENG | STATE | $5 | | | | | |
| ENG | CMAQ | | $48 | | | | |
| ROW | HSIP | | $25 | | | | |
| ENG | LOCAL | | $12 | | | | |
| ROW | HSIP | | | $1 | | | |
| CON | CMAQ | | | | $1,699 | | |
| CON | HSIP | | | | $2,218 | | |
| CON | LOCAL | | | | $1,993 | | |

**Table 4.1 RECOMMENDED SFY 2026 - SFY 2029 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (HIGHWAY, BIKEWAY AND OTHER PROJECTS)**

**Greene County Projects**

**COUNTY, ROUTE, SECTION:** GRE142-06.68 **ODOT PID #** 123923 **MVRPC #** 3039.3 **PROJECT SPONSOR:** Greene County

**DESCRIPTION:** Dayton-Xenia Road from approximately 125' west of Meadow Drive to Trebein Road and from Trebein Road to the Xenia west corp limit-Roadway resurfacing including installing two new ADA ramps at the intersection of Progress Drive and Dayton-Xenia Road.

**COMMENTS :** Updated project limits based on request from the project sponsor.

**TOTAL COST (000):** $896 **LET TYPE:** Local-let **A.Q. :** Exempt **LRTP GOAL:** G2-2

| PHASE | FUND | PRIOR | SFY2026 | SFY2027 | SFY2028 | SFY2029 | Future |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| CON | LOCAL | | | | | | $188 |
| CON | STP | | | | | | $708 |

# Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

**Table 4.2 RECOMMENDED SFY 2026 - SFY 2029 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (HIGHWAY, BIKEWAY AND OTHER PROJECTS)**

**Miami County Projects**

**COUNTY, ROUTE, SECTION:** MIA - CR 25A Resurfacing **ODOT PID #** 119368 **MVRPC #** 2732.3 **PROJECT SPONSOR:** Miami County

**DESCRIPTION:** County Road 25A from Donn Davis Way to the Troy south corporation limit-The existing pavement will be milled along the curbs, resurface with 1.5" of asphalt concrete and receive thermoplastic pavement markings.

**COMMENTS :** Decreased Federal and Local construction funds to reflect changes in Ellis.

**TOTAL COST (000):** $1,621 **LET TYPE:** Local-let **A.Q. :** Exempt **LRTP GOAL:** G2-2

| PHASE | FUND | PRIOR | SFY2026 | SFY2027 | SFY2028 | SFY2029 | Future |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ENG | LOCAL | | $10 | | | | |
| CON | LOCAL | | | | $492 | | |
| CON | STP | | | | $1,119 | | |

**COUNTY, ROUTE, SECTION:** MIA - Troy Riverfront Recreational Trail **ODOT PID #** 121677 **MVRPC #** 2863.7 **PROJECT SPONSOR:** Troy

**DESCRIPTION:** Descending the right bank of the Great Miami River from Treasure Island to the existing Troy low-head dam-Construction of 5,387' of a 11' wide paved multi-use trail. This project will also include the construction of an access point at the top of the levee and lighting and signage along the multi-use trail. This project also includes acquiring 1,000 square feet of private property that extends from an existing alley to connect the trail to E. Water St.

**COMMENTS :** Construction delayed from SFY2027 to SFY2029 based on request from project sponsor.

**TOTAL COST (000):** $1,145 **LET TYPE:** Local-let **A.Q. :** Exempt **LRTP GOAL:** G2-1

| PHASE | FUND | PRIOR | SFY2026 | SFY2027 | SFY2028 | SFY2029 | Future |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ENG | LOCAL | $94 | | | | | |
| ROW | LOCAL | $20 | | | | | |
| CON | CR | | | | | $750 | |
| CON | LOCAL | | | | | $281 | |

# Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

**Table 4.3 RECOMMENDED SFY 2026 - SFY 2029 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (HIGHWAY, BIKEWAY AND OTHER PROJECTS)**

**Montgomery County Projects**

**COUNTY, ROUTE, SECTION:** MOT/GRE - I-675/Wilmington Project **ODOT PID #** 115160 **MVRPC #** 2452.8 **PROJECT SPONSOR:** Centerville

**DESCRIPTION:** I-675 at Wilmington Pike-Evaluate and implement transportation improvements including local road improvements as well as improvements to the existing interchange.

**COMMENTS :** Decreased Federal PE funds in SFY2027, increased Local PE funds in SFY2027, added TRAC PE funds in SFY2027, decreased TRAC and Local PE funds in SFY2029, decreased R/W funds and decreased construction funds to reflect changes in Ellis. $2,864,928 TRAC funding for PE, $5,790,000 TRAC funding for R/W and $17,359,354 TRAC funding for construction uncommitted at this time.

**TOTAL COST (000):** $30,776 **LET TYPE:** Traditional **A.Q. :** Exempt **LRTP GOAL:** G1

| PHASE | FUND | PRIOR | SFY2026 | SFY2027 | SFY2028 | SFY2029 | Future |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ENG | STATE | $615 | | | | | |
| ENG | LOCAL | $58 | | | | | |
| ENG | NHPP | $231 | | | | | |
| ENG | STATE | $124 | | | | | |
| ENG | LOCAL | $88 | | | | | |
| ENG | NHPP | $352 | | | | | |
| ENG | EAR | | $1,659 | | | | |
| ENG | LOCAL | | $415 | | | | |
| ENG | EAR | | | $404 | | | |
| ENG | LOCAL | | | $662 | | | |
| ENG | TRAC | | | $2,243 | | | |
| ENG | LOCAL | | | | | $156 | |
| ENG | TRAC | | | | | $622 | |
| ROW | TRAC | | | | | $5,790 | |
| CON | TRAC | | | | | | $17,359 |

**Table 4.3 RECOMMENDED SFY 2026 - SFY 2029 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (HIGHWAY, BIKEWAY AND OTHER PROJECTS)**

**Montgomery County Projects**

**COUNTY, ROUTE, SECTION:** MOT - Smithville Road Reconstruction **ODOT PID #** 116964 **MVRPC #** 2513.2 **PROJECT SPONSOR:** Dayton

**DESCRIPTION:** Smithville Road from US 35 to Huffman Avenue-Reconstruction including new street pavement, curb, sidewalk, streetlights, traffic signal and catch basins.

**COMMENTS :** Increased Local construction funds to reflect changes in Ellis.

**TOTAL COST (000):** $3,551 **LET TYPE:** Local-let **A.Q. :** Exempt **LRTP GOAL:** G2-2

| PHASE | FUND | PRIOR | SFY2026 | SFY2027 | SFY2028 | SFY2029 | Future |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ENG | LOCAL | $128 | | | | | |
| ROW | LOCAL | | $75 | | | | |
| CON | LOCAL | | | $1,130 | | | |
| CON | STP | | | $2,102 | | | |
| CON | TA | | | $116 | | | |

**COUNTY, ROUTE, SECTION:** MOT - Yankee Street Resurfacing **ODOT PID #** 119362 **MVRPC #** 2728.3 **PROJECT SPONSOR:** Montgomery County

**DESCRIPTION:** Yankee Street from Spring Valley Pike to Leona Lane-Roadway milling and resurfacing to a depth of 1.5" over the full roadway and paved shoulder.

**COMMENTS :** Decreased Local construction funds to reflect changes in Ellis.

**TOTAL COST (000):** $1,956 **LET TYPE:** Local-let **A.Q. :** Exempt **LRTP GOAL:** G2-2

| PHASE | FUND | PRIOR | SFY2026 | SFY2027 | SFY2028 | SFY2029 | Future |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| CON | LOCAL | | | $1,225 | | | |
| CON | STP | | | $731 | | | |

**COUNTY, ROUTE, SECTION:** MOT - Stillwater Trail Resurfacing **ODOT PID #** 119405 **MVRPC #** 2744.7 **PROJECT SPONSOR:** MCD

**DESCRIPTION:** Left bank of the Stillwater River from Gayle B. Price bridge to Wegerzyn Road-Milling and resurfacing of the existing asphalt, full depth pavement repairs, installation of amenities (swings, benches, trash cans, and dog waste stations), installation of native prairie planting, and installation of historical and cultural signage.

**COMMENTS :** Decreased Federal and Local construction funds to reflect changes in Ellis.

**TOTAL COST (000):** $796 **LET TYPE:** Traditional **A.Q. :** Exempt **LRTP GOAL:** G2-2

| PHASE | FUND | PRIOR | SFY2026 | SFY2027 | SFY2028 | SFY2029 | Future |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ENG | LOCAL | | $119 | | | | |
| CON | LOCAL | | | | $142 | | |
| CON | TA | | | | $534 | | |

**Table 4.3 RECOMMENDED SFY 2026 - SFY 2029 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (HIGHWAY, BIKEWAY AND OTHER PROJECTS)**

**Montgomery County Projects**

**COUNTY, ROUTE, SECTION:** MOT - Kingsridge Drive **ODOT PID #** 120184 **MVRPC #** 2784.7 **PROJECT SPONSOR:** Miami Twp. (Mot)

**DESCRIPTION:** Kingsridge Drive from SR 741 to Lyons Ridge Drive-Construct pedestrian improvements.

**COMMENTS :** Decreased Local construction funds to reflect changes in Ellis.

**TOTAL COST (000):** $2,909 **LET TYPE:** Traditional **A.Q. :** Exempt **LRTP GOAL:** G2-1

| PHASE | FUND | PRIOR | SFY2026 | SFY2027 | SFY2028 | SFY2029 | Future |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ROW | LOCAL | | $175 | | | | |
| CON | HSIP | | | $1,500 | | | |
| CON | LOCAL | | | $1,234 | | | |

**COUNTY, ROUTE, SECTION:** MOT - Infirmary Road and Pinnacle Road Resurfacing **ODOT PID #** 121590 **MVRPC #** 2848.3 **PROJECT SPONSOR:** Jefferson Twp. (Mot)

**DESCRIPTION:** Infirmary Road from Pinnacle Road north to the Jefferson Township Limit and Pinnacle Road from Infirmary Road to Soldiers Home-Miamisburg Road-Roadway mill and fill.

**COMMENTS :** Construction moved up to SFY2027 from SFY2028 based on request from project sponsor.

**TOTAL COST (000):** $533 **LET TYPE:** Traditional **A.Q. :** Exempt **LRTP GOAL:** G2-2

| PHASE | FUND | PRIOR | SFY2026 | SFY2027 | SFY2028 | SFY2029 | Future |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ENG | LOCAL | $68 | | | | | |
| CON | LOCAL | | | $98 | | | |
| CON | STP | | | $367 | | | |

**COUNTY, ROUTE, SECTION:** MOT - Woodman Gateway **ODOT PID #** 122972 **MVRPC #** 2895.4 **PROJECT SPONSOR:** Montgomery Co. TID

**DESCRIPTION:** Woodman Drive from Bayside Drive/Longview Avenue to the Riverside north corp limit-Construct corridor safety improvements.

**COMMENTS :** Decreased Federal and Local PE funds in SFY2026 and added Federal and Local PE funds in SFY2027 to reflect changes in Ellis.

**TOTAL COST (000):** $1,875 **LET TYPE:** Non-let **A.Q. :** Exempt **LRTP GOAL:** G2-3

| PHASE | FUND | PRIOR | SFY2026 | SFY2027 | SFY2028 | SFY2029 | Future |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ENG | EAR | | $379 | | | | |
| ENG | LOCAL | | $95 | | | | |
| ENG | EAR | | | $1,121 | | | |
| ENG | LOCAL | | | $280 | | | |

**Table 4.3 RECOMMENDED SFY 2026 - SFY 2029 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (HIGHWAY, BIKEWAY AND OTHER PROJECTS)**

**Montgomery County Projects**

| | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **COUNTY, ROUTE, SECTION:** | MOT - Washington Church Road Extension | | | **ODOT PID #** 124716 | **MVRPC #** 1413.1 | **PROJECT SPONSOR:** Miami Twp. (Mot) | |
| **DESCRIPTION:** | Washington Church Road-Extension of roadway approximately 1,200' to provide access to an expanding manufacturer as well as additional developable acreage. | | | | | | |
| **COMMENTS :** | New project, not in the current TIP. | | | | | | |
| **TOTAL COST (000):** | $778 | **LET TYPE:** Traditional | | **A.Q. :** Exempt | **LRTP GOAL:** G3 | | |

| PHASE | FUND | PRIOR | SFY2026 | SFY2027 | SFY2028 | SFY2029 | Future |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| CON | LOCAL | | | $603 | | | |
| CON | STATE | | | $175 | | | |

# Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

**Table 4.4 RECOMMENDED SFY 2026 - SFY 2029 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (HIGHWAY, BIKEWAY AND OTHER PROJECTS)**

**Warren County Projects**

**COUNTY, ROUTE, SECTION:** WAR - East Second Street Signal Upgrade **ODOT PID #** 119965 **MVRPC #** 2808.6 **PROJECT SPONSOR:** Franklin

**DESCRIPTION:** Intersections of East Second Street at Charles Street/Victory Lane, Millard Drive and Deardoff Road-Upgrade the existing traffic signal installations with new mast arm poles, new signal heads and updated traffic control equipment that can and will be networked with the City's ATMS centrally controlled signal system. At the same time, it will extend the existing fiber optic communication infrastructure along East Second Street between Riley Boulevard and I-75 for networking and integrating 7 intersections with the ATMS signal system. All 7 intersections will be upgraded with an ATC and be integrated into the City's existing ATMS signal system and expanded for future autonomous vehicle technology.

**COMMENTS :** Decreased Federal and Local construction funds to reflect changes in Ellis.

**TOTAL COST (000):** $2,405 **LET TYPE:** Traditional **A.Q. :** Exempt **LRTP GOAL:** G2-3

| PHASE | FUND | PRIOR | SFY2026 | SFY2027 | SFY2028 | SFY2029 | Future |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ENG | LOCAL | | | $331 | | | |
| CON | CMAQ | | | | | $1,660 | |
| CON | LOCAL | | | | | $415 | |

**COUNTY, ROUTE, SECTION:** WAR741-17.02 **ODOT PID #** 121908 **MVRPC #** 2873.4 **PROJECT SPONSOR:** Warren County

**DESCRIPTION:** SR 741 at Lytle Five Points Road-Intersection improvements including the addition of turn lanes and improved pedestrian crossings.

**COMMENTS :** Increased Federal CMAQ and Local construction funds reflect changes in Ellis.

**TOTAL COST (000):** $2,401 **LET TYPE:** Local-let **A.Q. :** Exempt **LRTP GOAL:** G2-3

| PHASE | FUND | PRIOR | SFY2026 | SFY2027 | SFY2028 | SFY2029 | Future |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ENG | EAR | $345 | | | | | |
| ENG | LOCAL | $86 | | | | | |
| ENG | EAR | | $183 | | | | |
| ENG | LOCAL | | $46 | | | | |
| CON | CMAQ | | | | $954 | | |
| CON | EAR | | | | $322 | | |
| CON | LOCAL | | | | $464 | | |

# Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

## Table 4.6 - DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION FOR STATEWIDE LINE ITEMS LISTED IN TABLE 4.5

**COUNTY, ROUTE, SECTION:** D07 - CR FY27 (B) - SLI-013 **ODOT PID #** 116498 **MVRPC #** 2544.8 **PROJECT SPONSOR:** ODOT District-7

**DESCRIPTION:** Various locations in District 7-Pave inverts of culverts.

**COMMENTS :** Increased State construction funds to reflect changes in Ellis.

**TOTAL COST (000):** $807 **LET TYPE:** Traditional **A.Q. :** Exempt **LRTP GOAL:** G2-2

| PHASE | FUND | PRIOR | SFY2026 | SFY2027 | SFY2028 | SFY2029 | Future |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ENG | STATE | $14 | | | | | |
| ENG | STATE | $109 | | | | | |
| ENG | STATE | $65 | | | | | |
| ROW | STATE | $38 | | | | | |
| ROW | STATE | | $10 | | | | |
| CON | STATE | | | $571 | | | |

**COUNTY, ROUTE, SECTION:** MIA571-16.55 - SLI-009 **ODOT PID #** 116918 **MVRPC #** 2959.8 **PROJECT SPONSOR:** ODOT District-7

**DESCRIPTION:** SR 571 over the Great Miami River-Overlay bridge deck, replace expansion joints, update bridge railing and paint the structural steel.

**COMMENTS :** Increased Federal and State construction funds to reflect changes in Ellis.

**TOTAL COST (000):** $2,301 **LET TYPE:** Traditional **A.Q. :** Exempt **LRTP GOAL:** G2-2

| PHASE | FUND | PRIOR | SFY2026 | SFY2027 | SFY2028 | SFY2029 | Future |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| CON | NHPP | | | | $1,840 | | |
| CON | STATE | | | | $460 | | |

**COUNTY, ROUTE, SECTION:** MIA041/055-09.33/11.62 - SLI-009 **ODOT PID #** 119279 **MVRPC #** 2915.3 **PROJECT SPONSOR:** ODOT District-7

**DESCRIPTION:** SR 41 in Troy from Plum Street to Mulberry Street and SR 55 from Franklin Street to the Troy east corp limit-Mill the existing surface and repave with asphalt concrete.

**COMMENTS :** Updated project limits to reflect changes in Ellis.

**TOTAL COST (000):** $1,272 **LET TYPE:** Traditional **A.Q. :** Exempt **LRTP GOAL:** G2-2

| PHASE | FUND | PRIOR | SFY2026 | SFY2027 | SFY2028 | SFY2029 | Future |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| CON | LOCAL | | | | $254 | | |
| CON | STD | | | | $1,018 | | |

## Table 4.6 - DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION FOR STATEWIDE LINE ITEMS LISTED IN TABLE 4.5

**COUNTY, ROUTE, SECTION:** MOT040-06.22 - SLI-009 **ODOT PID #** 120190 **MVRPC #** 2785.3 **PROJECT SPONSOR:** ODOT District-7

**DESCRIPTION:** US 40 from Diamond Mill Road to Hoke Road-Resurface with superpave asphalt.

**COMMENTS :** Increased Federal and Local construction funds to reflect changes in Ellis.

**TOTAL COST (000):** $1,071 **LET TYPE:** Traditional **A.Q. :** Exempt **LRTP GOAL:** G2-2

| PHASE | FUND | PRIOR | SFY2026 | SFY2027 | SFY2028 | SFY2029 | Future |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| CON | LOCAL | | | $216 | | | |
| CON | STD | | | $855 | | | |

**COUNTY, ROUTE, SECTION:** D08 - VAR DMS & QWS Replacement - SLI-013 **ODOT PID #** 121932 **MVRPC #** 2874.8 **PROJECT SPONSOR:** ODOT District-8

**DESCRIPTION:** Various locations in District 8-Replacement of dynamic message signs and queue warning signs. Installation of one new queue warning sign on I-675 southbound north of Wilmington Pike.

**COMMENTS :** Added State PE funds in SFY2027 and decreased State construction funds to reflect changes in Ellis.

**TOTAL COST (000):** $9,654 **LET TYPE:** Traditional **A.Q. :** Exempt **LRTP GOAL:** G2-3

| PHASE | FUND | PRIOR | SFY2026 | SFY2027 | SFY2028 | SFY2029 | Future |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ENG | STATE | $613 | | | | | |
| CON | STATE | | | $9,036 | | | |
| ENG | STATE | | | $5 | | | |

**COUNTY, ROUTE, SECTION:** D07 - Sign Replacement FY27 - SLI-013 **ODOT PID #** 124549 **MVRPC #** 3079.4 **PROJECT SPONSOR:** ODOT District-7

**DESCRIPTION:** Various locations in District 7-Traffic sign and truss replacements.

**COMMENTS :** Decreased State construction funds to reflect changes in Ellis.

**TOTAL COST (000):** $909 **LET TYPE:** Traditional **A.Q. :** Exempt **LRTP GOAL:** G2-3

| PHASE | FUND | PRIOR | SFY2026 | SFY2027 | SFY2028 | SFY2029 | Future |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| ENG | STATE | | $95 | | | | |
| CON | STATE | | | $814 | | | |

**COUNTY, ROUTE, SECTION:** GRE - Sugarcreek Twp. Sign Grant - SLI-012 **ODOT PID #** 126833 **MVRPC #** 3162.4 **PROJECT SPONSOR:** ODOT District-7

**DESCRIPTION:** Sugarcreek Township in Greene County-Safety sign grant to combat run off the road and intersection crashes.

**COMMENTS :** Increased Federal construction funds to reflect changes in Ellis.

**TOTAL COST (000):** $23 **LET TYPE:** Non-let **A.Q. :** Exempt **LRTP GOAL:** G2-3

| PHASE | FUND | PRIOR | SFY2026 | SFY2027 | SFY2028 | SFY2029 | Future |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| CON | HSIP | | | $23 | | | |

## Table 4.6 - DETAILED PROJECT INFORMATION FOR STATEWIDE LINE ITEMS LISTED IN TABLE 4.5

**COUNTY, ROUTE, SECTION:** MIA048-11.31 - SLI-013 **ODOT PID #** 127375 **MVRPC #** 1138.5 **PROJECT SPONSOR:** ODOT District-7

**DESCRIPTION:** SR 48 approximately 1,300' north of Sugar Grove Road-Repair deck edge and railing due to bridge hit.

**COMMENTS :** Increased State construction funds to reflect changes in Ellis.

**TOTAL COST (000):** $110 **LET TYPE:** Traditional **A.Q. :** Exempt **LRTP GOAL:** G2-2

| PHASE | FUND | PRIOR | SFY2026 | SFY2027 | SFY2028 | SFY2029 | Future |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| CON | STATE | | | $110 | | | |

| PID | MPO | Sponsoring Agency | ALI / Quantity | Project Description | Air Quality | Type | Fund Type | Fund | SAC | Work Category | Fund Description | SFY | Amount |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 117967 | MVRPC | MVRPC | 11.7L.00 | Mobility Management Regional Planning | CO Air Quality Exempt | Capital | Federal | 5310 | TDY5 | Transit | Enhanced Mobility | 2026 | $206,174 |
| 117967 | MVRPC | MVRPC | 11.7L.00 | Mobility Management Regional Planning | CO Air Quality Exempt | Capital | State | GRF | 4TU7 | Transit | General Revenue Fund | 2026 | $47,689 |
| 117967 | MVRPC | MVRPC | 11.7L.00 | Mobility Management Regional Planning | CO Air Quality Exempt | Capital | Local Match | LNTP | LNTP | Transit | Local Match | 2026 | $16,223 |
| **Total---->** | | | | | | | | | | | | | $270,086 |
| 118276 | MVRPC | Agencies in MVRPC Region | 11.12.15 | SFY 2027 ODOT Large Urban 5339 Allocations | CO Air Quality Exempt | Capital | Federal | 5339 | TBB5 | Transit | Bus & Bus Facilities | 2027 | $288,000 |
| 118276 | MVRPC | Agencies in MVRPC Region | 11.12.15 | SFY 2027 ODOT Large Urban 5339 Allocations | CO Air Quality Exempt | Capital | Local Match | LNTP | LNTP | Transit | Local Match | 2027 | $72,000 |
| **Total---->** | | | | | | | | | | | | | $360,000 |
| 118281 | MVRPC | RT Industries | 11.13.15 | Transit Vehicle | CO Air Quality Exempt | Capital | Federal | 5310 | TER5 | Transit | Enhanced Mobility | 2026 | $199,118 |
| 118281 | MVRPC | RT Industries | 11.13.15 | Transit Vehicle | CO Air Quality Exempt | Capital | Local Match | LNTP | 4EE5 | Transit | Local Match | 2026 | $49,780 |
| 118281 | MVRPC | RT Industries | 11.13.15 | Transit Vehicle | CO Air Quality Exempt | Capital | Federal | 5310 | TER5 | Transit | Enhanced Mobility | 2027 | $118,372 |
| 118281 | MVRPC | RT Industries | 11.13.15 | Transit Vehicle | CO Air Quality Exempt | Capital | Local Match | LNTP | 4EE5 | Transit | Local Match | 2027 | $29,594 |
| Total----> | | | | | | | | | | | | | $396,864 |
| 118284 | MVRPC | Agencies in MVRPC Region | 11.12.15 | SFY 2027 ODOT Large Urban 5310 Allocations | CO Air Quality Exempt | Capital | Federal | 5310 | TDY5 | Transit | Enhanced Mobility | 2027 | $0 |
| 118284 | MVRPC | Agencies in MVRPC Region | 11.12.15 | SFY 2027 ODOT Large Urban 5310 Allocations | CO Air Quality Exempt | Capital | Local Match | LNTP | LNTP | Transit | Local Match | 2027 | $0 |
| Total----> | | | | | | | | | | | | | $0 |
| 121428 | MVRPC | Agencies in MVRPC Region | 11.13.15 | Transit Vehicles | CO Air Quality Exempt | Capital | Federal | 5310 | TDY5 | Transit | Enhanced Mobility | 2026 | $784,546 |
| 121428 | MVRPC | Agencies in MVRPC Region | 11.13.15 | Transit Vehicles | CO Air Quality Exempt | Capital | Local Match | LNTP | LNTP | Transit | Local Match | 2026 | $218,784 |
| 121428 | MVRPC | Agencies in MVRPC Region | 11.13.15 | Transit Vehicles | CO Air Quality Exempt | Capital | Federal | 5310 | TDY5 | Transit | Enhanced Mobility | 2027 | $767,237 |
| 121428 | MVRPC | Agencies in MVRPC Region | 11.13.15 | Transit Vehicles | CO Air Quality Exempt | Capital | Local Match | LNTP | LNTP | Transit | Local Match | 2027 | ***$175,243*** |
| Total----> | | | | | | | | | | | | | ***$1,945,810*** |
| 123296 | MVRPC | Agencies in MVRPC Region | 11.12.15 | SFY 2028 ODOT Large Urban 5310 Allocations | CO Air Quality Exempt | Capital | Federal | 5310 | TDY5 | Transit | Enhanced Mobility | 2028 | $1,210,947 |
| 123296 | MVRPC | Agencies in MVRPC Region | 11.12.15 | SFY 2028 ODOT Large Urban 5310 Allocations | CO Air Quality Exempt | Capital | Local Match | LNTP | LNTP | Transit | Local Match | 2028 | $302,737 |
| **Total---->** | | | | | | | | | | | | | $1,513,684 |
| 123302 | MVRPC | Agencies in MVRPC Region | 11.12.15 | SFY 2029 ODOT Large Urban 5310 Allocations | CO Air Quality Exempt | Capital | Federal | 5310 | TDY5 | Transit | Enhanced Mobility | 2029 | $1,210,947 |
| 123302 | MVRPC | Agencies in MVRPC Region | 11.12.15 | SFY 2029 ODOT Large Urban 5310 Allocations | CO Air Quality Exempt | Capital | Local Match | LNTP | LNTP | Transit | Local Match | 2029 | $302,737 |
| **Total---->** | | | | | | | | | | | | | $1,513,684 |
| 123879 | MVRPC | MVRPC | 11.80.00 | 5310 Program Administration | CO Air Quality Exempt | Planning | State | GRF | 4TU7 | Transit | General Revenue Fund | 2026 | $60,139 |
| **Total---->** | | | | | | | | | | | | | $60,139 |

MIAMI VALLEY
Regional Planning Commission

6 North Main Street, Suite 400
Dayton, Ohio 45402

t: 937.223.6323
f: 937.223.9750
TTY/TDD: 800.750.0750
www.mvrpc.org

**RESOLUTION 26-34**
**REVISING THE SFY2026-SFY2029 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM**

**WHEREAS**, the Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission is designated as the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) by the Governor acting through the Ohio Department of Transportation in cooperation with locally elected officials for Greene, Miami and Montgomery Counties including the jurisdictions of Carlisle, Franklin, Springboro and Franklin Township in Warren County; and

**WHEREAS**, the MVRPC's Board of Directors serves as the policy and decision making body through which local governments guide the MPO's transportation planning process for the Dayton Metropolitan Area; and

**WHEREAS**, all Federally funded transit and highway improvements within Greene, Miami and Montgomery County must be included in the region's Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) prior to the expenditure of Federal funds; and

**WHEREAS**, the SFY2026-SFY2029 Transportation Improvement Program was adopted on May 1, 2025; and

**WHEREAS**, MVRPC and ODOT have made numerous changes to the programming documents for various projects resulting in the need for a SFY2026-SFY2029 TIP revision; and

**WHEREAS**, the proposed revision is consistent with the Region's long-range transportation plan; and

**WHEREAS**, this TIP revision is consistent with the regional air quality emission analysis of the SFY2026-SFY2029 TIP; and

**WHEREAS**, the MVRPC Public Participation Policy for Transportation Planning process allows for minor TIP revisions such as this to occur without separate public involvement meetings; and

**WHEREAS**, this revision will result in a TIP that is in reasonable fiscal constraint

**NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED**, that the MPO members of the Board of Directors of the Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission hereby adopt **Revision #15** to the SFY2026-SFY2029 Transportation Improvement Program as shown on the attached TIP Tables.

**BY ACTION OF THE** MPO members of the Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission's Board of Directors.

_____
**Brian O. Martin, AICP**
Executive Director

_____
**Robin I. Oda, Chairperson**
Board of Directors of the
Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

_____
Date

MIAMI VALLEY
Regional Planning Commission

6 North Main Street, Suite 400
Dayton, Ohio 45402

t: 937.223.6323
f: 937.223.9750
TTY/TDD: 800.750.0750
www.mvrpc.org

# MEMORANDUM

**To:** Technical Advisory Committee, Board of Directors

**From:** MVRPC Staff

**Date:** November 9 2026

**Subject:** Adoption of Resolution Supporting the IIJA Safety Performance Management Targets

The Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA) continues to place emphasis on the performance management approach first specified in the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21). It requires state DOTs, transit agencies, and MPOs to establish performance and outcome-based programs in several national priority areas.

While the various rules have different deadlines, generally, after a state DOT adopts targets for a particular rule, MPOs have 180 days from the date targets are established by a state to adopt their own targets or support the state's. The MPO deadline for adopting safety performance measure targets is February 27, 2026. MVRPC has decided to support the State's targets for the safety measures. Safety measures apply to all public roads and are adopted annually.

Exhibit 1 summarizes the safety measures and targets including the impact that a 2% annual reduction goal would have in the MVRPC area. The 2% reduction for CY 2026 maintains the rate adopted in CY 2025 due to Governor DeWine's focus on safety and associated increases in funding.

A resolution to support the IIJA safety performance management targets as established by ODOT is attached and staff recommends its adoption.

Attachments:
1. Exhibit 1: Summary of Safety Performance Measures.
2. Resolution Supporting the IIJA Act Performance Management Targets.

## Exhibit 1: Summary of Safety Performance Measures.

| Safety Performance Measure | 2021-2025 Baseline State** | 2025 Target State** | 2027 Target* State | 2021-2025 Baseline MVRPC | 2027 Target* MVRPC | Met Target or Progress?** |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Number of Fatalities** | 1,228.4 | 1,180.0 | 1,179.8 | 94.0 | 90.3 | No |
| **Fatality Rate** | 1.081 | 1.08 | 1.038 | 0.99 | 0.95 | Yes |
| **Number of Serious Injuries** | 7,541.6 | 7,482.0 | 7,243.0 | 534.2 | 513.0 | Progress |
| **Serious Injury Rate** | 6.631 | 6.51 | 6.368 | 5.61 | 5.39 | Progress |
| **No. of Non-motorized Fatalities and Serious Injuries** | 893.6 | 809.0 | 858.2 | 69.6 | 66.8 | No |

* Using a 2% annual reduction goal from baseline conditions.

** Determination of progress would be made at the State level only, comparing 2025 targets to 2025 actuals (based on 2021-2025 rolling average).

MIAMI VALLEY
Regional Planning Commission

6 North Main Street, Suite 400
Dayton, Ohio 45402

t: 937.223.6323
f: 937.223.9750
TTY/TDD: 800.750.0750
www.mvrpc.org

**RESOLUTION 26-35**
**SUPPORTING THE INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENT AND JOBS ACT**
**SAFETY PERFORMANCE MANAGEMENT TARGETS**

**WHEREAS**, the Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission is designated as the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) by the Governor acting through the Ohio Department of Transportation in cooperation with locally elected officials for Greene, Miami and Montgomery Counties including the jurisdictions of Carlisle, Franklin, Springboro and Franklin Township in Warren County; and

**WHEREAS**, the MPO Members of the MVRPC Board of Directors serve as the policy and decision making body through which local governments guide the MPO's transportation planning for the Dayton Metropolitan Area; and

**WHEREAS,** the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21) required State Departments of Transportation (DOTs) and MPOs to set targets for safety annually and the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA) reaffirms this requirement; and

**WHEREAS**, the Ohio Department of Transportation had to report annual targets for safety measures by August 31st, 2026; and

**WHEREAS**, MPOs have 180 days to set targets following the above mentioned deadline; and

**WHEREAS**, MVRPC working with the Ohio Department of Transportation (ODOT) has chosen to support ODOT's targets.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED,** that the MPO Members of the Board of Directors of the Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission hereby support ODOT's safety performance management targets as outlined in Exhibit 1 and agree to plan and program projects that contribute to the achievement of such targets.

**BY ACTION OF THE** MPO Members of the Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors.

_____
**Brian O. Martin, AICP**
Executive Director

_____
**Robin I. Oda, Chairperson**
Board of Directors of the
Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

_____
Date

MIAMI VALLEY
Regional Planning Commission

6 North Main Street, Suite 400
Dayton, Ohio 45402

t: 937.223.6323
f: 937.223.9750
TTY/TDD: 800.750.0750
www.mvrpc.org

# MEMORANDUM

**To:** Technical Advisory Committee, Board of Directors

**From:** MVRPC Staff

**Date:** November 9, 2026

**Subject:** Adoption of IIJA Transit Asset Management and Public Transportation Agency Safety Targets.

The Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA) continues to place emphasis on the performance management approach first specified in the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21). It requires state DOTs, transit agencies, and MPOs to establish performance-and outcome-based programs in several national priority areas.

While the various priority areas have different deadlines, generally MPOs have 180 days from the date targets are established by a State DOT or transit agency to adopt their own targets. Transit agencies were required to update their Transit Asset Management Plans and Public Transportation Agency Safety Plans by October 1, 2026.

Exhibit 1 summarizes the targets by asset class established by each transit agency in their respective 2026 updates to the Transit Asset Management Plans.

Exhibit 2 summarizes the targets established by each applicable transit agency in their respective 2026 updates to the Public Transportation Agency Safety Plans.

A resolution to adopt the IIJA transit asset management targets as established by each of our regional transit agencies (the Greater Dayton Regional Transit Authority, the Greene County Transit Board, and the Miami County Transit System) and ODOT for the 5310 Program is attached and staff recommends its adoption.

Attachments:

1. Exhibit 1: Summary of Transit Asset Management Targets
2. Exhibit 2 :Summary of Public Transportation Agency Safety Targets
3. Resolution Supporting the IIJA Transit Asset Management and Public Transportation Agency Safety Targets

## Exhibit 1: Summary of Transit Asset Management Targets

| **Assets:** Includes only those for which agency has direct capital responsibility | **Performance Measure:** Percentage of assets that have met or exceeded Useful Life Benchmark (equipment/vehicles/infrastructure) or are rated below grade on FTA TERM scale (facilities) | |
|---|---|---|
| **GDRTA** | **2026 Baseline** | **2030 Target** |
| **Other Rubber Tire Vehicles** | 25% | 50% |
| **BU-Bus** | 35% | 25% |
| **CU-Cutway Bus** | 0% | 0% |
| **TB-Trolley Bus** | 0% | 10% |
| **Administration/Maintenance Facilities** | 10% | 20% |
| **Passenger Facilities** | 10% | 20% |
| **Greene CATS** | **2025 Baseline** | **2028 Target** |
| **CU-Cutway** | 21% | 0% |
| **AV-Minivan** | 0% | 0% |
| **MCTS*** | **2026 Baseline** | **2030 Target** |
| **CU-Cutway Bus** | 0% | 20% |
| **Non Revenue Vehicle** | 100% | 20% |
| **Equipment** | 7% | 0% |
| **Administration/Maintenance Facility** | 0% | 0% |

* Targets represents statewide values

**Exhibit 2: Summary of Public Transportation Safety Performance Targets**

***Greene CATS***

| Mode of Transit Service | Year | Collisions | Collision Rate Per Total Vehicle Revenue Miles | Injuries | Injury Rate Per Total Vehicle Revenue Miles | Major Safety Events | Event Rate Per Total Vehicle Revenue Miles | Assaults on Transit Workers | Assault Rate Per Total Vehicle Revenue Miles | System Reliability (miles between service calls) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **DR** | **CY 2023-2025 Baseline** | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73,530 |
| **DR** | **CY 2027 Target** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73,530 |

***GDRTA***

| Mode of Transit Service | Year | Collisions | Collision Rate Per Total Vehicle Revenue Miles | Injuries | Injury Rate Per Total Vehicle Revenue Miles | Major Safety Events | Event Rate Per Total Vehicle Revenue Miles | Assaults on Transit Workers | Assault Rate Per Total Vehicle Revenue Miles | System Reliability (miles between service calls) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **FR (MB/TB)** | **CY 2023-2025 Baseline** | 11.667 | 0.208 | 20 | 0.362 | 12.333 | 0.221 | 2.667 | 2 | 14,393/3,977 |
| **FR (MB/TB)** | **CY 2026 Target** | 11 | 0.197 | 19 | 0.343 | 11 | 0.209 | 0.049 | 0.046 | 14,393/3,977 |
| **DR** | **CY 2023-2025 Baseline** | 1.667 | 1 | 6.333 | 6 | 1.667 | 0.083 | 0 | 0 | 18,090 |
| **DR** | **CY 2026 Target** | 0.083 | 0.083 | 0.352 | 0.352 | 1 | 0.078 | 0 | 0 | 18,090 |

MIAMI VALLEY
Regional Planning Commission

6 North Main Street, Suite 400
Dayton, Ohio 45402

t: 937.223.6323
f: 937.223.9750
TTY/TDD: 800.750.0750
www.mvrpc.org

**RESOLUTION 26-36**
**SUPPORTING THE INFRASTRUCTURE INVESTMENT AND JOBS ACT**
**TRANSIT ASSET MANAGEMENT AND PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION AGENCY SAFETY TARGETS**

**WHEREAS**, the Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission is designated as the Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) by the Governor acting through the Ohio Department of Transportation in cooperation with locally elected officials for Greene, Miami and Montgomery Counties including the jurisdictions of Carlisle, Franklin, Springboro, and Franklin Township in Warren County; and

**WHEREAS**, the MPO Members of the MVRPC Board of Directors serve as the policy and decision making body through which local governments guide the MPO's transportation planning for the Dayton Metropolitan Area; and

**WHEREAS,** the Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21) required a system to monitor and manage public transportation assets to improve safety and increase reliability and performance, and the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA) reaffirms this requirement; and

**WHEREAS**, transit providers had to update their Transit Asset Management Plans by October 1st, 2026; and

**WHEREAS**, transit providers had to update their Public Transportation Agency Safety Plans by October 1st, 2026; and

**WHEREAS**, MPOs have 180 days to set targets following the October 1st, 2026 deadline; and

**WHEREAS**, the MVRPC working with the regional transit agencies (the Greater Dayton Regional Transit Authority, the Greene County Transit Board, and the Miami County Transit System) and the Ohio Department of Transportation has chosen to support each agency's asset and safety targets.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED,** that the MPO Members of the Board of Directors of the Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission hereby support transit management targets as outlined in Exhibit 1 and support public transit agency safety targets as outlined in Exhibit 2 and agree to plan and program projects that contribute to the achievement of such targets.

**BY ACTION OF THE** MPO Members of the Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission Board of Directors.

**Brian O. Martin, AICP**
Executive Director

**Robin I. Oda, Chairperson**
Board of Directors of the
Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission

Date

MIAMI VALLEY
Regional Planning Commission

6 North Main Street, Suite 400
Dayton, Ohio 45402

t: 937.223.6323
f: 937.223.9750
TTY/TDD: 800.750.0750
www.mvrpc.org

# MEMORANDUM

**To:** Technical Advisory Committee, Board of Directors

**From:** MVRPC Staff

**Date:** November 6, 2026

**Subject:** Proposed New Projects Requesting MVRPC's STP, CMAQ, and TA Funds

The enclosed table shows all proposed new projects received as part of our solicitation for MVRPC regionally controlled funding under the Surface Transportation Program (STP), Congestion Mitigation/Air Quality (CMAQ) and Transportation Alternatives (TA) programs.

* The proposed new project list is for information only at this time.

A public involvement meeting to present the proposed new projects requesting MVRPC's STP, CMAQ, and TA funds was held on November 5, 2026. A summary of public involvement comments is included as an attachment.

Federal funding request totals and total project costs are broken down by funding category below.

| | Funds Requested | Total Project Costs |
|---|---|---|
| **STP** | **$12,051,572** | **$19,044,896** |
| **CMAQ** | **$28,772,750** | **$45,804,343** |
| **TA** | **$1,928,308** | **$3,201,389** |
| **TOTAL** | **$42,752,630** | **$68,050,628** |

The next step in the process is to evaluate each proposed project based on the criteria established in MVRPC's suballocated funding policies and procedures. After each project is ranked, a final list of recommended projects will be reviewed by the Technical Advisory Committee in February and then forwarded to the Board of Directors for final approval in March.

# CY2026 Summary of STP applications

| Project Sponsor | Project Name | Project Location | Project Description | Federal Funds Requested | Total Project Cost |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| City of Beavercreek | Beaver Valley Road Resurfacing Project | Beaver Valley Road from Hazel Drive to Bandit Trail | Milling and resurfacing of the roadway. Also included are some minor curb repairs as well as the updating of the existing curb ramps to meet current standards as necessary. | $777,600 | $1,032,000 |
| City of Beavercreek | Indian Ripple Road Resurfacing Project | Indian Ripple Road from I-675 to Darst Road | Milling and resurfacing of the roadway. Also included are some minor curb repairs as well as the updating of the existing curb ramps to meet current standards. | $864,000 | $1,155,000 |
| City of Centerville | Clyo Road Resurfacing | Clyo Road from Alex Bell Road to Bigger Road | Roadway resurfacing including pavement repair, milling and resurfacing, pavement markings, adjustment or replacement of affected roadway features and related construction activities. | $440,786 | $734,643 |
| City of Dayton | Germantown Street Resurfacing | Germantown Street from Frizell Avenue to McArthur Avenue | Grind and resurface 2" of asphalt pavement and replace non-compliant ADA ramps. | $992,250 | $1,348,000 |
| City of Dayton | South Edwin C. Moses Boulevard Resurfacing | South Edwin C. Moses Boulevard from West Third Street to Stewart Street | Roadway resurfacing including repairing crumbling curbs, sidewalks, catch basin, and updating the traffic signals. The project also includes new ADA curb ramps. | $992,315 | $1,353,087 |
| City of Englewood | Hoke Road Resurfacing | Hoke Road from SR 49 to the Englewood NCL, excluding the I-70 bridge deck | Roadway resurfacing. | $353,786 | $442,233 |
| City of Fairborn | Dayton-Yellow Springs Road Resurfacing | Dayton-Yellow Springs Road from Maple Avenue to the I-675 SB off-ramp | Roadway resurfacing including replacing existing traffic loops with radar detection and making appropriate base repairs along the corridor. | $519,640 | $935,207 |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| City of Kettering | Woodman Drive Resurfacing | Woodman Drive from East Dorothy Lane to Patterson Road | Roadway resurfacing including repair of concrete curb, catch basins and full depth deteriorated pavement, as well as replacement of ADA curb ramps at isolated locations. | $999,337 | $2,326,350 |
| City of Moraine | Moraine Main Street Resurfacing | Main Street in Moraine from the bridge over the CSX railroad to the Moraine north corp limit | Roadway resurfacing including partial depth pavement repair of the entire surface, pavement markings, and limited full dept pavement repair, as needed. | $370,000 | $814,000 |
| City of Springboro | Lytle-Five Points Road Resurfacing | Lytle-Five Points Road from Main Street to Hickory Hills Drive in Springboro | Roadway resurfacing. | $710,852 | $958,136 |
| City of Trotwood | Turner Road Resurfacing, Phase 2 | Turner Road from SR 49 to Salem Avenue | Pavement planing and asphalt concrete overlay including adjusting manholes and water valves to grade and application of new pavement markings. | $692,930 | $958,341 |
| Greene County Engineer | Colonel Glenn Highway Resurfacing Project | Colonel Glenn Highway from the Montgomery County line to the Beavercreek west corp limit | Roadway resurfacing including full depth pavement repairs and thermoplastic pavement markings. Also included is the construciton of an ADA curb ramp and crosswalk at the WPAFB/I-675 northbound off ramp with Colonel Glenn Highway. | $660,363 | $880,485 |
| Greene County Engineer | Old US 35 Resurfacing Project | Old US 35 from the US 35 bypass to the City of Xenia west corp limit | Roadway resurfacing including full depth pavement repairs and thermoplastic pavement markings. | $782,685 | $990,741 |
| Miami County Engineer | County Road 25A Resurfacing | County Road 25A from the Troy north corp limit to the Piqua south corp limit | Roadway resurfacing with asphalt concrete and installation of enhanced pavement markings including raised pavement markers. | $987,000 | $2,268,000 |
| Montgomery County Engineer | Dayton-Cincinnati Pike Resurfacing | Dayton-Cincinnati Pike from south of Crains Run Road to the Miamisburg corp line south of Chautauqua Road | Roadway milling and resurfacing. | $455,032 | $758,387 |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Montgomery County Engineer | Turner Road Resurfacing | Turner Road from Wolf Road to Philadelphia Drive | Roadway milling and resurfacing. | $657,695 | $1,096,159 |
| MVRPC | Regional Supplemental Transportation Planning, SFY2032 | Miami Valley Region | Supplemental Planning Funding - includes TIP Project Management, Project Planning Assistance, and Transportation Resiliency. | $618,982 | $773,728 |
| MVRPC | Supplemental Regional Planning - SFY2032 | Miami Valley Region | Continuation of regional planning activities to support coordination/collaboration efforts in the region by providing planning process consultation, research, and technical support. | $176,319 | $220,399 |

Total STP Funds Requested: **$12,051,572**

# CY2026 Summary of CMAQ applications

| Project Sponsor | Project Name | Project Location | Project Description | Federal Funds Requested | Total Project Cost |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| City of Beavercreek | Dayton-Xenia Road Improvements | Dayton-Xenia Road from Darlington Drive to Fudge Drive | Widening the roadway to add a two-way left turn lane. The project includes the replacement of the existing 8'-10' wide sidewalk on the north side of the roadway with a 10' wide sidewalk, which will also be brought up to grade with the roadway at the bridge. The project also includes the installation of a 5' wide sidewalk along the south side of the roadway, curb and gutter, and storm sewer. The project will also include lengthening the existing bridge east of Darlington Drive for the widening of the roadway and to accommodate the sidewalks on the north and south sides of the roadway. | $2,728,500 | $4,773,000 |
| City of Beavercreek | Indian Ripple Road Improvement Project | Indian Ripple Road from Grange Hall Road to North Fairfield Road | Widening the roadway to add a two-way left turn lane. The project includes the installation of curb and gutter, storm sewer, and an 8' wide sidewalk along the south side of the roadway. The project will also include vertical and horizontal roadway reconstruction to fix sight-distance issues. | $1,947,400 | $3,632,000 |
| City of Centerville | Wilmington Pike at Feedwire Road Improvements | Wilmington Pike at Feedwire Road | The Wilmington/Feedwire Improvement Project is the fourth phase of the I-675/Wilmington Pike Interchange Improvements and will rebuild the east and west legs of the Wilmington Pike and Feedwire/Clyo Roads intersection. Additional turn lane capacity and alignment changes will be made at the Wilmington Pike, Charles Drive, and Clinger Lane intersections, reducing the congestion in the through lanes. The project will maintain pedestrian connectivity throughout the project limits by adding a multi-use path on the south side of Feedwire Road and realigning sidewalks. | $3,906,386 | $7,696,533 |
| City of Clayton | Hoke Road Improvements, Phase 2B | Hoke Road from Springview Lane to National Road | Widening of the roadway from two to three lanes including the addition of safety features such as street lighting and a 10' multi-use path on the west side and a sidewalk on the east side of the roadway. | $3,774,789 | $6,596,839 |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| City of Dayton | Keowee Street Improvements | Keowee Street from Valley Street to Webster Street | Installation of a multi use path on the east side of the roadway including related work to ADA ramps, sidewalk, catch basins, roadway, and median. | $1,333,760 | $2,018,305 |
| City of Kettering | City of Kettering Traffic Signal Reconstruction, Phase 5 | Various intersections in the City of Kettering | Complete reconstruction of five traffic signals, installation of new traffic surveillance cameras at each location, and relocation of existing fiber optic signal interconnect cable underground between adjacent intersections. Street lights will be added to the traffic signal poles and pedestrian amenities will be upgraded. | $2,853,315 | $3,716,790 |
| Five Rivers MetroParks | Wolf Creek Bikeway Connector, Phase 2A | Prescott Avenue to Strawberry Court in Trotwood | Construction of a 1.7 mile long, 11' wide asphalt paved trail. | $3,293,309 | $4,194,921 |
| GDRTA | Purchase of 25 small replacement buses | Dayton and surrounding communities | Purchase buses to replace others that have come to the end of their useful life. | $3,000,000 | $3,750,000 |
| Greene County Engineer | Feedwire Road and Little Sugarcreek Road Improvement Project | Feedwire Road at Little Sugarcreek Road | Improve the operation of the intersection by extending the existing eastbound left turn lane on Feedwire Road and the addition of a southbound right and dual left turn lane on Little Sugarcreek Road or via a single lane roundabout with southbound right bypass lane. A safety study and feasibility study will be conducted to determine which alternative offers the greatest operation and safety benefit to the intersection. | $3,727,309 | $5,151,516 |
| Greene County Engineer | Trebein Road and New Germany-Trebein Road Improvement Project | Trebein Road at New Germany-Trebein Road | Improve the operation of the intersection by widening Trebein Road to allow for an extension of the existing northbound left turn lane and the addition of a southbound right turn lane on Trebein Road. The existing eastbound left turn lane will be converted to a left/through lane, to allow for a dedicated eastbound right turn lane. Additionally, the existing traffic signal will be rebuilt with mast arms. Lastly, Trebein Road will be resurfaced within the project limits. | $797,903 | $1,228,641 |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Montgomery County Engineer | Montgomery County Engineer Traffic Signal System Project, Phase 1 | Various locations in Montgomery County | Complete reconstruction of four traffic signals which include new signal poles, detection, central connectivity, and PROWAG compliant pedestrian accommodations. The project also includes a centralized system which will allow for smoother operation and communication among traffic signals. | $1,410,079 | $3,045,798 |

Total CMAQ Funds Requested: **$28,772,750**

# CY2026 Summary of TA applications

| Project Sponsor | Project Name | Project Location | Project Description | Federal Funds Requested | Total Project Cost |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| City of Beavercreek | Patterson Road Multi-Use Path | Patterson Road from Springhouse Park entrance to Grange Hall Road | Installation of a 12' wide multi-use path along the south side of the roadway. | $308,000 | $505,000 |
| City of Dayton | West Third Street Cycle Track, Phase 5 | West Third Street from Gettysburg Avenue to Decker Avenue | Reduce traffic lanes from 4 substandard lanes with no dedicated left turn lane to 3 lanes (1 being a dedicated left turn lane) with a grade/curb separated cycle track along the south edge of the roadway. | $499,675 | $762,500 |
| City of Englewood | Union Boulevard Crosswalk | Union Boulevard south of the access drive to Centennial Park in Englewood | Construction of a mid-block pedestrian crosswalk. Improvements include expanding the existing center concrete median, restriping the travel lane and installing high-visibility crosswalk markings. In addition, appropriate signage will be added. | $178,136 | $254,481 |
| City of Kettering | Berwin-Marshall Connector | Along city-owned property between Berwin Avenue and Marshall Road in Kettering | Construction of a new shared-use path. | $442,497 | $737,495 |
| City of Springboro | Central Greenway Shared Use Path, Segment H | From Whispering Pines/Foliage Lane to North Park in Springboro | Construction of a portion of the Central Greenway multi-use path. | $500,000 | $941,913 |

Total TA Funds Requested: **$1,928,308**

## Arnold, Paul

**From:** Arnold, Paul
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 10, 2026 9:29 AM
**To:** 'Melody Burba'
**Cc:** Dent, Laura; Ramirez, Ana
**Subject:** RE: Transportation Project Input

Hi Melody!

Thank you for your comments below on the proposed transportation projects being considered for Federal funding. We welcome the interest these projects have generated and will forward your comments to our TAC and Board for their consideration.

**Paul Arnold**
Short Range Planning Manager
Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission
6 North Main St., Suite 400
Dayton, Ohio 45402
parnold@mvrpc.org

937.223.6323 - office
937.531.6534 – direct line
937.223.9750 – fax
Like us on Facebook

---

**From:** Melody Burba <melody.a.burba@gmail.com>
**Sent:** Monday, November 9, 2026 5:23 PM
**To:** Arnold, Paul <PArnold@mvrpc.org>; Melody Burba <melody.a.burba@gmail.com>
**Subject:** Transportation Project Input

Transportation Funding Input (11-5-2026)

STP Applications (Support)

Project Names

Germantown Street Resurfacing

South Edwin C. Moses Boulevard Resurfacing

Woodman Drive Resurfacing

Colonel Glenn Highway Resurfacing ProjectFY2032

Regional Supplemental Transportation Planning, SFY2032

Supplemental Regional Planning SFY2032

CMAQ Applications Support)

Dayton-Xenia Road Improvements

Indian Ripple Road Improvement Project

Wilmington Pike at Feedwire Road Improvement

Keowee Street Improvements

Wolf Creek Bikeway Connector, Phase 2A

TA Applications (Support)

Berwin-Marshall Connector

Central Greenway Shared Use Path, Segment H

TA Applications (Support)

Berwin-Marshall Connector

Central Greenway Shared Use Path, Segment H

Thank you for helping me

# EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S UPDATE

From Brian O. Martin, AICP
mvrpc.org

MIAMI VALLEY
Regional Planning Commission
Shaping Our Region's Future Together

## November 2026

### 2026 Tour de Gem Bike Ride Held in Greene County

Although it was a cool and cloudy morning due to threatening Hurricane Isaias, Team MVRPC and the Region turned out for the 2026 Americana 250 Cycling Classic at the Greene County Fairgrounds on Sunday, October 11. This was the latest in the Tour de Gem series rides supporting the work of United Way of Greater Dayton and the many organizations providing invaluable services to people in our region.





**MVRPC's Participating Staff in the Tour de Gem Event**

MVRPC was a sponsor of this year's event and we used the opportunity to share information about the Miami Valley Trails and GOhio Commute with riders and other sponsors as well as ride the 10mile route. Mayors from many Greene County municipalities were also participants in the event including Mayor Kirkpatrick of Fairborn, Mayor Reynolds of Xenia, Mayor Adams of Beavercreek, Mayor Cody of Cedarville, and Mayor Bradley of Jamestown. State Representative Brian Lampton also attended the event.

When there is an opportunity to work with partners and support our neighbors and communities, MVRPC staff always show up regardless of the conditions. Supporting the Tour de Gem series has been a great partnership and the residents and officials are always pleased to see MVRPC out in the community. It was a well attended event despite the potential effects from hurricane Isaias.

The Team completed the fun 10-mile route in just over an hour with stops at the Greene County Historical Society and at Xenia Station – a major hub of the Miami Valley Trails. At the stops, the team enjoyed snacks and the opportunity to learn about Greene County history. Did you know the clock atop Greene County's historic courthouse was hand-wound on a daily basis until 1943?

Congratulations to Team MVRPC for their participation, and to United Way for holding a successful Tour de Gem event!

## Spending Time to Thank Federal Judge Walter Rice for his Service to MVRPC and Ohio



Over the last decade, Judge Rice was pivotal in advising the ideation and creation of the Institute for Livable & Equitable Communities at MVRPC. He has continued to contribute as an inaugural committee member of the Regional Equity Initiative. MVRPC is deeply grateful for Judge Rice's visionary leadership and enduring dedication to fostering a regional community where everyone matters. We are grateful for the opportunities presented to get to know him in working on strategies that ensure equity and fairness for everyone in our Miami Valley. His exceptional and exemplary service to both MVRPC and the Miami Valley Region has been outstanding. We look forward to continuing to work with Judge Rice as he indicated that he would like to continue his service on the Regional Equity Initiative committee. He visited us for the certificate presentation on October 14th.

## Dayton Region Showcased as the "Gem" of the 2026 OKI Regional Planning Conference




The 2026 Ohio-Kentucky-Indiana (OKI26) Regional Planning Conference was hosted at the newly renovated Dayton Convention Center during September 30 and October 2.

With over 450 attendees from all three states and beyond, the conference provided extensive educational and networking programs under the "Healthy Planners for Healthy Places" theme. In addition, the conference offered great opportunities for the attendees to explore the Gem City and showcase many of our unique assets, history, and tradition. Exploration activities included walking tours of downtown and in neighborhoods, a visit to the WPAFB and Wolf Creek bike trail, and an evening reception in the Arcade's Rotunda with Funk music to highlight Dayton's title as "Funk Capital of the World."



MVRPC was heavily involved behind the scenes for this conference. MVRPC was a proud sponsor of the conference and many staff volunteered their time in both the planning committees and during the conference. Staff helped at every corner ensuring smooth operation, setting up for the conference, welcoming the attendees at the registration table, and staffing the reception.

MVRPC and area planners expanded their knowledge base by attending a diverse selection of sessions during the conference. Topics ranged from legislation in the Ohio statehouse, improving public participation, data center considerations, ethical challenges of Artificial Intelligence, age-friendly planning, and much more.




Further, Martin Kim, Director of Community and Regional Planning and Milo Simpson, Planner II, hosted an educational session titled “Planning for Health: Where do we stand?” This session engaged the attendees in the discussion of how to advance planning efforts to achieve healthy communities and shared insights from the agency’s Local Plan Health Assessment and Healthy Communities Needs Assessment conducted as part of its PLAN4Health - Miami Valley Initiative.

The Ohio-Kentucky-Indiana (OKI) Regional Planning conference is held every other year and is sponsored by the Ohio, Kentucky, and Indiana State Chapters of the American Planning Association.

## Grants & Funding Resources

On a monthly basis MVRPC highlights funding opportunities for member villages, cities, counties, and townships on our website.  We include a description, contact information and program links. We have listed other valuable resources for funding opportunities for all member jurisdictions and organizations on our Grant and Funding Opportunities page.

This month we are featuring information on: .

- Dayton Foundation Greenlight Grants– Deadline: December 1, 2026
- Violent Crime Reduction Grant Program– Deadline: January 7, 2027

If you have any questions, please contact Kathryn Youra Polk at kyourapolk@mvrpc.org or 937.223.6323.

## MVRPC Meeting Calendar

Please check the November agency calendar on mvrpc.org or contact Laura Dent at ldent@mvrpc.org for the status of your meeting.

| Date | Time | Meeting |
|---|---|---|
| 11/05/2026 | 8:30 a.m. | CANCELED: Executive Committee |
| 11/05/2026 | 9:00 a.m. | Board of Directors |
| 11/05/2026 | 5:00 p.m. | Virtual Public Participation Meeting to Review Transportation Projects being Considered for Funding for MVRPC's Sub-allocated Federal Funds |
| 11/10/2026 | 9:30 a.m. | Water & Environment Subcommittee Meeting |
| 11/12/2026 | 8:00 a.m. | Building Interactive Reporting Web Apps Using ArcGIS Pro and ArcGIS Online |
| 11/18/2026 | 10:00 a.m. | CANCELED: Miami Valley Age-Friendly Network Meeting |
| 11/18/2026 | 2:00 p.m. | RTPO Steering Committee Meeting |
| 11/19/2026 | 9:30 a.m. | TAC Meeting |
| 11/26/2026 | 8:00 a.m. | Thanksgiving Day- The MVRPC Office is Closed |
| 11/27/2026 | 8:00 a.m. | The MVRPC Office is Closed |
| 12/02/2026 | 2:30 p.m. | RESCHEDULED: Regional Equity Initiative Meeting |