

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>CHAPTER 1. INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>3</b>
1.1. SETTING .....	3
1.2. HISTORY OF BICYCLE PLANNING IN THE MIAMI VALLEY .....	4
1.3. PURPOSE OF THE COMPREHENSIVE LOCAL-REGIONAL BIKEWAYS PLAN .....	5
1.4. PLAN DEVELOPMENT .....	5
1.5. CONTENTS OF THE PLAN .....	6
<b>CHAPTER 2. VISION, GOALS, AND OBJECTIVES</b> .....	<b>9</b>
2.1. INTRODUCTION .....	9
2.2. REVIEW OF EXISTING PLANS AND POLICIES .....	9
2.3. NEW VISION, POLICY, GOALS AND OBJECTIVES .....	10
2.4. REVIEW OF RELEVANT LEGISLATION .....	13
2.5. CONCLUSION .....	16
<b>CHAPTER 3. EXISTING CONDITIONS</b> .....	<b>17</b>
3.1. INTRODUCTION .....	17
3.2. BICYCLE INFRASTRUCTURE OVERVIEW .....	17
3.3. EXISTING BIKEWAYS .....	20
3.4. OTHER BICYCLE FACILITIES .....	30
3.5. EDUCATION, ENCOURAGEMENT AND ENFORCEMENT PROGRAMS .....	33
3.6. OPPORTUNITIES AND CONSTRAINTS .....	34
<b>CHAPTER 4. NEEDS ANALYSIS</b> .....	<b>39</b>
4.1. INTRODUCTION .....	39
4.2. NEEDS AND TYPES OF BICYCLISTS .....	39
4.3. DEMAND ANALYSIS .....	42
4.4. DEMAND ANALYSIS .....	49
4.5. CRASH ANALYSIS .....	51
4.6. ON-STREET BICYCLE SUITABILITY ANALYSIS .....	56
4.7. SURVEYS .....	63
<b>CHAPTER 5. RECOMMENDED BIKEWAY NETWORK</b> .....	<b>65</b>
5.1. INTRODUCTION .....	65
5.2. PROJECT DEVELOPMENT .....	65
5.3. RECOMMENDED BIKEWAY NETWORK .....	67
5.4. PROJECT PRIORITIZATION .....	79

<b>CHAPTER 6.</b>	<b>EDUCATION, ENCOURAGEMENT, AND ENFORCEMENT PROGRAMS .....</b>	<b>129</b>
6.1.	INTRODUCTION .....	129
6.2.	EXISTING EFFORTS.....	129
6.3.	PROGRAM RECOMMENDATIONS.....	131
<b>CHAPTER 7.</b>	<b>SAFE ROUTES TO SCHOOLS TOOLKIT .....</b>	<b>145</b>
7.1.	INTRODUCTION .....	145
7.2.	WHAT IS SAFE ROUTES TO SCHOOL? .....	145
7.3.	BENEFITS OF A SR2S PROGRAM .....	145
7.4.	THE SCHOOL SITE AUDIT .....	146
7.5.	ENGINEERING TOOLS: IMPROVEMENTS FOR THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT .....	147
7.6.	EDUCATION AND ENCOURAGEMENT TOOLS: TEACHING SAFETY AND PROMOTING AWARENESS.....	159
7.7.	ENFORCEMENT TOOLS: ENSURING COMPLIANCE WITH TRAFFIC LAWS .....	163
7.8.	RESOURCES: LINKS TO OTHER SR2S TOOLKITS AND GUIDEBOOKS .....	165
7.9.	SCHOOL SITE AUDIT CHECKLIST FORM.....	166
<b>CHAPTER 8.</b>	<b>COST OPINIONS, FUNDING AND IMPLEMENTATION ....</b>	<b>175</b>
8.1.	INTRODUCTION .....	175
8.2.	PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION PROCESS.....	175
8.3.	COST BREAKDOWN.....	175
8.4.	FUNDING SOURCES.....	187
8.5.	ESTIMATED FUTURE FUNDS THROUGH 2018 .....	192
8.6.	IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGIES .....	193
<b>CHAPTER 9.</b>	<b>DESIGN GUIDELINES.....</b>	<b>197</b>
9.1.	INTRODUCTION .....	197
9.2.	NATIONAL AND STATE GUIDELINES/BEST PRACTICES.....	197
9.3.	BICYCLE FACILITY TYPES .....	198
9.4.	BIKE LANES .....	199
9.5.	SHOULDER BIKEWAYS .....	201
9.6.	SHARED ROADWAYS .....	202
9.7.	BICYCLE-FRIENDLY INTERSECTIONS .....	211
9.8.	INNOVATIVE ON-STREET BICYCLE FACILITIES .....	211
9.9.	SHARED USE PATHS.....	215
9.10.	END-OF-TRIP FACILITIES.....	227

# LIST OF FIGURES

---

Figure 1. The Miami Valley has a great legacy of bikeways, and significant potential for the future. . . .	1
Figure 2. The original Wright Cycle Company building still stands today in West Dayton. . . . .	3
Figure 3. MoMBA Mountain Bike Park . . . . .	4
Figure 4. The planning process included ten public open houses . . . . .	5
Figure 5. The Little Miami Scenic Trail in Xenia is an example of a shared use path . . . . .	17
Figure 6. Pedestrian/bicycle bridge crossing Holes Creek along SR 741 in Montgomery County . . . . .	18
Figure 7. Example of a bike lane on a major street . . . . .	18
Figure 8. Shared roadway with signage. . . . .	19
Figure 8a. Nash Road in Greene County . . . . .	19
Figure 9. Wright Brothers (Huffman Prairie) Bikeway in Fairborn. . . . .	21
Figure 10. Little Miami Scenic Trail in Yellow Springs . . . . .	22
Figure 11. Xenia Station. . . . .	22
Figure 12. Bike lane serving as part of Ohio-to-Erie Trail in Cedarville . . . . .	22
Figure 13. Great Miami River Recreation Trail in Troy . . . . .	23
Figure 14. Recently-completed section of the Great Miami River Recreation Trail in Franklin . . . . .	29
Figure 15. Gayle B. Price, Jr. Bridge. . . . .	29
Figure 16. Low-volume residential street south of downtown Dayton . . . . .	30
Figure 17. Bikeway numbering sign and supplemental wayfinding sign on the Little Miami Scenic Trail	31
Figure 18. Sign depicting the Ohio-to-Erie Trail logo. . . . .	31
Figure 19. MCD trail signage design . . . . .	31
Figure 20. Bike racks at the Wright-Dunbar Interpretive Center . . . . .	32
Figure 21. Bike rack on GDRTA bus. . . . .	33
Figure 22. Bicycling on SR 73 in Springboro is difficult due to a lack of safe and comfortable facilities	35
Figure 23. The addition of a shoulder on Grange Hall Road in Beavercreek would improve the bicycling environment. . . . .	35
Figure 24. Stairway with wheel gutter . . . . .	36
Figure 25. Children bicycling in Xenia . . . . .	40
Figure 26. Recreational bicyclists in Xenia. . . . .	41
Figure 27. Road Training (Photo: League of American Bicyclists) . . . . .	131
Figure 28. Example of a “complete street” . . . . .	133
Figure 29. Family bike ride (Photo: Jonathan Maus) . . . . .	137
Figure 30. Energizer Station in Santa Clara, CA (Photo: Lorenzo Lopez) . . . . .	140
Figure 31. Drive Less.Live More campaign . . . . .	142
Figure 32. Bike lane marking . . . . .	201

Figure 33. Bicycle-safe drainage grates .....	201
Figure 34. Shoulder bikeways .....	201
Figure 35. Bicycle boulevard wayfinding sign in Portland, Oregon.....	203
Figure 36. MUTCD Bicycle Warning Sign (W11-1) with supplemental plaque (W16-1) .....	204
Figure 37. Example of a directional pavement marking .....	204
Figure 38. Shared lane marking placement guidelines .....	205
Figure 39. Shared lane marking on a local street .....	205
Figure 40. Bicycle loop detector with pavement marking .....	206
Figure 41. Bicycle activation button .....	206
Figure 42. Intersection before and after installation of curb extensions .....	207
Figure 43. Crossing with a median/refuge island .....	207
Figure 44. Chicanes .....	208
Figure 45. Mini traffic circle .....	208
Figure 46. Speed hump.....	208
Figure 47. Choker entrance of two-way local street .....	209
Figure 48. Traffic diverter .....	209
Figure 49. Sample bicycle boulevard treatments .....	210
Figure 50. Bike lane at vehicle/ bicycle conflict zone .....	211
Figure 51. Blue bike lane signage warning motorists of vehicle/bicycle conflict zone .....	211
Figure 52. Cycletrack in Copenhagen, Denmark .....	212
Figure 53. Cycletrack concept .....	212
Figure 54. Contra-flow bike lane concept.....	213
Figure 55. Shared bus/bike lane concept .....	214
Figure 56. Bike Box .....	214
Figure 57. Recommended shared use path cross-section .....	215
Figure 58. Type 1 crossing .....	218
Figure 59. Type 2 crossing .....	218
Figure 60. Type 3 crossing .....	219
Figure 61. Type 4 grade-separated overcrossing.....	219
Figure 62. Type 4 grade-separated undercrossing .....	219
Figure 63. Puffin signal.....	220
Figure 64. Hawk signal .....	220
Figure 65. Major trailhead .....	221
Figure 66. Trailhead with small parking area.....	221
Figure 67. Informational kiosk and information sign .....	221
Figure 68. Inverted "U" Rack .....	228
Figure 69. Ribbon, spiral and freestanding racks .....	228

Figure 70. On-street bicycle parking.....	229
Figure 71. Bike lockers at a transit station .....	229
Figure 72. Typical bike locker dimensions .....	230
Figure 73. Bikestation® in San Jose, California.....	230

# LIST OF TABLES

---

- Table 1. Characteristics of Casual and Experienced Bicyclists ..... 40
- Table 2. Characteristics of Recreational and Utilitarian Bicycle Trips ..... 41
- Table 3. Journey-to-Work Characteristics ..... 42
- Table 4. 1990-2005 Journey-to-Work Trends ..... 43
- Table 5. Aggregate Estimate of Existing Daily Bicycling Activity in the Miami Valley ..... 43
- Table 6. Determining Geographic Location of Bicyclists and Potential Bicyclists ..... 45
- Table 7. Factors Used to Calculate Destination Density ..... 45
- Table 8. Existing and Potential Future Air Quality Benefits..... 50
- Table 9. Estimated Aggregate Annual Benefits of an Enhanced Bikeway Network ..... 51
- Table 10. Corridors Experiencing the Highest Number of Reported Bicycle/Pedestrian Collisions, 2002-2004 ..... 55
- Table 11. Intersections Experiencing the Highest Number of Reported Bicycle/Pedestrian Collisions, 2002-2004..... 55
- Table 12. Bicycle Suitability Analysis Evaluation Criteria and Point Values ..... 56
- Table 13. Aggregate Suitability Scores and Map Symbology Colors ..... 58
- Table 14. MVRPC 2008-2011 TIP Bicycle Projects..... 65
- Table 15. High-Priority Bikeway Projects ..... 79
- Table 16. Project Evaluation Criteria and Scoring Ranges ..... 89
- Table 17. Top-Priority Bikeway Projects ..... 90
- Table 18. Bicycle Facility Types Used for Cost Opinions..... 176
- Table 19. Unit Cost Assumptions ..... 177
- Table 20. High-Priority Project Cost Opinions..... 178
- Table 21. Top-Priority Project Cost Opinions..... 185
- Table 22. Bikeway Maintenance Frequency and Cost Opinions ..... 186
- Table 23. 2008-2018 Bicycle Funding Sources ..... 192
- Table 24. Total Estimated Available Funding, 2008-2018 ..... 193
- Table 25. Potential Measures of Effectiveness ..... 195
- Table 26. Bicycle Facility Types and Design Elements ..... 198
- Table 27. Recommended Bike Lane Design Features..... 199
- Table 28. Shared Use Path Design Recommendations..... 215
- Table 29. Trail Maintenance Guidelines ..... 224
- Table 30. Trail Safety and Security Recommendations ..... 226
- Table 31. Bicycle Rack Placement Guidelines ..... 227

# LIST OF MAPS

---

- Map 3-1. Existing Bikeways: Miami Valley Region..... 25
- Map 3-2. Existing Bikeways: Greene County ..... 26
- Map 3-3. Existing Bikeways: Miami County..... 27
- Map 3-4. Existing Bikeways: Montgomery and Northern Warren Counties ..... 28
- Map 4-1. Existing and Potential Bicycling Demand ..... 47
- Map 4-2. Cycling Density Attractors..... 48
- Map 4-3. Regional Bicycle Crash Analysis ..... 53
- Map 4-4. Bicycle Suitability Analysis: Miami Valley Region..... 59
- Map 4-5. Bicycle Suitability Analysis: Greene County ..... 60
- Map 4-6. Bicycle Suitability Analysis: Miami County..... 61
- Map 4-7. Bicycle Suitability Analysis: Montgomery and Northern Warren Counties ..... 62
- Map 5-1. Existing and Proposed Bikeways: Miami Valley Region ..... 71
- Map 5-2. Existing and Proposed On-Street Bikeways: Miami Valley Region..... 72
- Map 5-3. Existing and Proposed Off-Street Bikeways: Miami Valley Region ..... 73
- Map 5-4. Inter-Regional Bikeway Connections..... 74
- Map 5-5. Long Range Transportation Plan Bike Map..... 75
- Map 5-6. Existing and Proposed Bikeways: Greene County ..... 76
- Map 5-7. Existing and Proposed Bikeways: Miami County ..... 77
- Map 5-8. Existing and Proposed Bikeways: Montgomery and Northern Warren Counties ..... 78
- Map 5-9. Existing and Proposed High-Priority Bikeways: Miami Valley Region ..... 85
- Map 5-10. Existing and Proposed High-Priority Bikeways: Greene County ..... 86
- Map 5-11. Existing and Proposed High-Priority Bikeways: Miami County..... 87
- Map 5-12. Existing and Proposed High-Priority Bikeways: Montgomery and Northern Warren Counties . 88

